arXiv:1406.3959v1 [math.QA] 16 Jun 2014

DAHA-JONES POLYNOMIALS OF TORUS KNOTS

IVAN CHEREDNIK †

Abstract. DAHA-Jones polynomials of torus knots $T(r,s)$ are studied systematically for reduced root systems and in the case of $C^\vee C_1$. We prove the polynomiality and evaluation conjectures from the author's previous paper on torus knots and extend the theory by the color exchange and further symmetries. DAHA-Jones polynomials for $C^\vee C_1$ depend on 5 parameters. Their surprising connection to the DAHA-superpolynomials (type A) for the knots $T(2p+1,2)$ is obtained, a remarkable combination of the color exchange conditions and the author's duality conjecture (justified by Gorsky and Negut). The DAHA-superpolynomials for symmetric and wedge powers (and torus knots) conjecturally coincide with the Khovanov-Rozansky stable polynomials, those originated in the theory of BPS states and the superpolynomials defined via rational DAHA in connection with certain Hilbert schemes, though not much is known about such connections beyond the HOMFLYPT and Kauffman polynomials. We also define certain arithmetic counterparts of DAHA-Jones polynomials for the absolute Galois group instead of torus knots in the case of $C^\vee C_1$.

Key words: *double affine Hecke algebra; Jones polynomials; Khovanov-Rozansky homology; torus knots; Macdonald polynomials; Askey-Wilson polynomials; Verlinde algebra; absolute Galois group; Chern-Simons theory.*

MSC (2010): 14G32, 17B22, 17B45, 20C08, 20F36, 33D52, 30F10, 57M25, 57R58,

Contents

0. Introduction 3
1. Reduced root systems 5
1.1. **Affine Weyl group** 5
1.2. **Definition of DAHA** 6

† June 17, 2014. Partially supported by NSF grant DMS–1101535 and the Simons Foundation.

1.3. **The automorphisms** 7
1.4. **Macdonald polynomials** 9
1.5. **DAHA-Jones polynomials** 11
1.6. **Superpolynomials** 16
1.7. **Examples of color exchange** 19
2. DAHA OF TYPE $C^\vee C_1$ 23
2.1. **Main definitions** 23
2.2. **Polynomial representation** 26
2.3. **Relations to A_1** 29
2.4. **DAHA-Jones polynomials for $C^\vee C_1$** 32
2.5. **Reductions of parameters** 34
2.6. **Superpolynomials for $T(2r+1,2)$** 35
2.7. **Generalized Verlinde algebras** 38
References 43

0. Introduction

This paper is a systematic exposition of the new theory of *DAHA-Jones polynomials* of torus knots $T(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s})$ for reduced root systems and in the case of $C^\vee C_1$. We prove the (key) Polynomiality Conjecture from [Ch4] and the Evaluation Conjecture there, also extending the theory by the important Color Exchange, the symmetry $(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s})\mapsto(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{r})$ and further properties of DAHA-Jones polynomials and *superpolynomials*. We mention that the justification of the Stabilization Conjecture in type A is provided in [GN]. It was announced as proven in [Ch4] (with a link to [SV]); it justifies the existence of DAHA-superpolynomials.

Superpolynomials. The DAHA-superpolynomials of $T(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s})$ conjecturally coincide with the Poincarè polynomials of stable triply graded *Khovanov-Rozansky homology* of torus knots for sl_{n+1} as $n\to\infty$ [KhR1, KhR2, Ras]; see also [Web, Rou] and references there concerning the *categorification*. Only *reduced* Jones and other polynomials (with value 1 at the unknot) are considered in this paper, as well as in [Ch4]. Such Connection Conjecture was checked for *HOMFLYPT and Kauffman polynomials* [Ch4, Ste] and when the Khovanov-Rozansky polynomials are available; there is also a link to the *Heegard- Floer homology*. Our color exchange has something in common with the so-called colored differentials (see [GGS]), but there are significant differences and the existence of the latter is a conjecture.

Relying on such a connection, DAHA provide a self-consistent powerful approach to torus knots (arbitrary root systems and weights). It is relatively simple. Only the positivity for the rectangles and the stabilization-duality in types B–C–D remain open among the intrinsic properties of the DAHA-polynomials conjectured in [Ch4]. The B–C–D systems are more natural to address within the $C^\vee C_n$–theory, to be considered in author's further works. The system $C^\vee C_n$, the most general classical one, can be managed following the present paper. We note that counterparts of the properties of DAHA-superpolynomials are generally difficult challenges in the Khovanov-Rozansky theory.

Let us briefly mention other approaches to superpolynomials. The (physics) theory of the *BPS states* is used, which is not mathematically rigorous but fruitful; see e.g. [DGR, AS, FGS, DMS]. We mention that the duality for symmetric and wedge powers was conjectured in [GS]. The *rational DAHA* can be employed here (in connection

with certain *Hilbert schemes*); see [GORS, GN] and references there. The superpolynomials obtained via rational DAHA perfectly match our DAHA-superpolynomials, but this approach is restricted to symmetric or wedge powers so far. We do not discuss this direction and related important geometric developments; the link to our superpolynomials has now no explanation (in spite of using DAHA in both theories).

The $C^\vee C_1$–case. The DAHA-Jones polynomials in this case depend on 5 parameters and are related to those of type A_1 in nontrivial ways. Their surprising connection to the DAHA-superpolynomials (type A) for $T(2p+1,2)$ is discovered; its proof is a remarkable combination of the color exchange and the Duality Conjecture from [Ch4], justified in [GN]. We will outline our approach to the proof of the latter based on the new q,t–level-rank duality, which deserves a separate paper.

The relation of $C^\vee C_1$ to superpolynomials requires special parameters. Geometric meaning of the whole set of parameters is unclear at the moment. This restricts potential value of the general $C^\vee C_n$–theory, though there are solid reasons to follow this avenue.

We note that the $C^\vee C_1$–theory somewhat deviates from that in [Ch4] (any reduced root systems). For instance, the symmetry $(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s})\mapsto(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{r})$ holds in this case only if rs is even. Also, the DAHA-Jones polynomials for $(2\mathsf{p}+1,1)$ become nontrivial for odd $\mathsf{p}>1$, which are exactly the ones resulting in the A–type superpolynomials for $T(2\mathsf{p}+1,2)$. For any s and odd r, the DAHA-Jones polynomials for (r,s) in type $C^\vee C_1$ coincide with those of type A_1 for $T(\mathsf{r},2\mathsf{s})$ under certain specialization of the parameters, which is, by the way, related to the connection between the continued fraction of $2\mathsf{s}/\mathsf{r}$ and s/r (Hurwitz).

Our formula for the superpolynomials of torus knots $T(2\mathsf{p}+1,2)$ (conjectured in [DMS, FGS]) is of independent interest due to the connection to (half-differentials of) *modular forms* via the *WRT and Kashaev invariants*; see [Hi]. Hopefully it will clarify and generalize the modularity properties of the Jones polynomials. Its proof is a convincing demonstration of the efficiency of the DAHA-based approach.

At the end of the paper, counterparts of DAHA-Jones polynomials in type $C^\vee C_1$ are defined for the *absolute Galois group* instead of torus knots, which is based on the rigidity of ("major") *perfect DAHA-modules* at roots of unity in the terminology of [Ch1]. We follow [Ch5], though do not have the classification of such perfect modules for $C^\vee C_1$. See [OS] concerning the rigidity for generic q in the case of $C^\vee C_1$.

We would like to mention paper [BS], which approaches the *skein modules* (for sl_2) via DAHA of type $C^\vee C_1$. The knots $T(\mathsf{r},2)$ for odd r are among the main examples there, but not only torus knots are considered in this paper. We see no connection with our work at the moment. Actually, such a connection is more likely at the level of *A–polynomials* (see e.g., [FGS]), which are beyond the present work.

1. Reduced root systems

1.1. **Affine Weyl group.** Let $R=\{\alpha\}\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ be a root system of type A,B,C,D,E,F,G with respect to a euclidean form (z,z') on $\mathbb{R}^n\ni z,z'$, W the Weyl group generated by the reflections s_α, R_+ the set of positive roots corresponding to fixed simple roots $\alpha_1,...,\alpha_n$; $R_-=-R_+$. The form is normalized by the condition $(\alpha,\alpha)=2$ for *short* roots. The root lattice and the weight lattice are:

$$Q=\oplus_{i=1}^n\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i\subset P=\oplus_{i=1}^n\mathbb{Z}\omega_i,$$

where $\{\omega_i\}$ are fundamental weights: $(\omega_i,\alpha_j^\vee)=\delta_{ij}$ for the coroots $\alpha^\vee=2\alpha/(\alpha,\alpha)$. Replacing $\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_+=\{m\in\mathbb{Z},m\geq 0\}$, we obtain Q_+,P_+. Here and further see e.g., [Bo] or [Ch1].

Setting $\nu_\alpha\stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}(\alpha,\alpha)/2$, the vectors $\widetilde{\alpha}=[\alpha,\nu_\alpha j]\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ for $\alpha\in R, j\in\mathbb{Z}$ form the *twisted affine root system* $\widetilde{R}\supset R$ ($z\in\mathbb{R}^n$ are identified with $[z,0]$). We add $\alpha_0\stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}[-\vartheta,1]$ to the simple roots for the *maximal short root* $\vartheta\in R_+$. The corresponding set $\widetilde{R}_+$ of positive roots is $R_+\cup\{[\alpha,\nu_\alpha j],\ \alpha\in R,\ j>0\}$.

The set of the indices of the images of α_0 by all automorphisms of the affine Dynkin diagram will be denoted by O ($O=\{0\}$ for E_8,F_4,G_2). Let $O'\stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}\{r\in O,r\neq 0\}$. The elements ω_r for $r\in O'$ are *minuscule weights*, defined by the inequalities $(\omega_r,\alpha^\vee)\leq 1$ for all $\alpha\in R_+$. We set $\omega_0=0$ for the sake of uniformity.

Given $\widetilde{\alpha}=[\alpha,\nu_\alpha j]\in\widetilde{R},\ b\in P$, let

$$s_{\widetilde{\alpha}}(\widetilde{z})\ =\ \widetilde{z}-(z,\alpha^\vee)\widetilde{\alpha},\quad b'(\widetilde{z})\ =\ [z,\zeta-(z,b)] \tag{1.1}$$

for $\widetilde{z}=[z,\zeta]\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The *affine Weyl group* $\widetilde{W}=\langle s_{\widetilde{\alpha}},\widetilde{\alpha}\in\widetilde{R}_+\rangle)$ is the semidirect product $W\ltimes Q$ of its subgroups $W=\langle s_\alpha,\alpha\in R_+\rangle$ and Q, where α is identified with

$$s_\alpha s_{[\alpha,\nu_\alpha]}\ =\ s_{[-\alpha,\nu_\alpha]}s_\alpha\ \text{ for }\ \alpha\in R.$$

The *extended Weyl group* $\widehat{W}$ is $W\ltimes P$, where the corresponding action in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is

$$(wb)([z,\zeta]) \;=\; [w(z),\zeta-(z,b)] \;\text{ for }\; w\in W, b\in P. \tag{1.2}$$

It is isomorphic to $\widetilde{W}\ltimes\Pi$ for $\Pi\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}P/Q$. The latter group consists of $\pi_0=$id and the images π_r of minuscule ω_r in P/Q.

The group Π is naturally identified with the subgroup of $\widehat{W}$ of the elements of the length zero; the *length* is defined as follows:

$$l(\widehat{w})=|\lambda(\widehat{w})| \;\text{ for }\; \lambda(\widehat{w})\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}\widetilde{R}_+\cap\widehat{w}^{-1}(-\widetilde{R}_+).$$

One has $\omega_r=\pi_r u_r$ for $r\in O'$, where u_r is the element $u\in W$ of minimal length such that $u(\omega_r)\in -P_+$.

Setting $\widehat{w}=\pi_r\widetilde{w}\in\widehat{W}$ for $\pi_r\in\Pi$, $\widetilde{w}\in\widetilde{W}$, $l(\widehat{w})$ coincides with the length of any reduced decomposition of $\widetilde{w}$ in terms of the simple reflections $s_i, 0\le i\le n$. We will also use the *partial lenghts* $l_{\rm sht}, l_{\rm lng}$, which count correspondingly short and long s_i in reduced decompositions.

1.2. **Definition of DAHA.** We follow [Ch4, Ch1]. Let m, be the least natural number such that $(P,P)=(1/\mathsf{m})\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\mathsf{m}=|\Pi|$ unless $\mathsf{m}=2$ for D_{2k} and $\mathsf{m}=1$ for B_{2k}, C_k.

The double affine Hecke algebra, *DAHA* , depends on the parameters $q, t_\nu\,(\nu\in\{\nu_\alpha\})$ and will be defined over the ring $Z_{q,t}\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/\mathsf{m}}, t_\nu^{\pm 1/2}]$ formed by polynomials in terms of $q^{\pm 1/\mathsf{m}}$ and $\{t_\nu^{1/2}\}$. Note that the coefficients of the Macdonald polynomials will belong to $\mathbb{Q}(q,t_\nu)$.

For $\widetilde{\alpha}=[\alpha,\nu_\alpha j]\in\widetilde{R},\ 0\le i\le n$, we set

$$t_{\widetilde{\alpha}}=t_\alpha=t_{\nu_\alpha}=q_\alpha^{k_\nu},\;\; q_{\widetilde{\alpha}}=q^{\nu_\alpha},\;\; t_i=t_{\alpha_i}, q_i=q_{\alpha_i},$$

Also, using here and below *sht, lng* instead of ν, let

$$\rho_k\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha>0}k_\alpha\alpha=k_{\rm sht}\rho_{\rm sht}+k_{\rm lng}\rho_{\rm lng},\;\; \rho_\nu=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\nu_\alpha=\nu}\alpha=\sum_{\nu_i=\nu, i>0}\omega_i.$$

For pairwise commutative $X_1,\dots,X_n$,

$$X_{\widetilde{b}}\;\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}\;\prod_{i=1}^n X_i^{l_i}q^j\;\text{ if }\;\widetilde{b}=[b,j],\;\widehat{w}(X_{\widetilde{b}})\;=\;X_{\widehat{w}(\widetilde{b})}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\text{where } b=\sum_{i=1}^n l_i\omega_i\in P,\;\; j\in\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z},\;\; \widehat{w}\in\widehat{W}.$$

For instance, $X_0 \overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} X_{\alpha_0} = qX_\vartheta^{-1}$.

We use that π_r^{-1} is $\pi_{\iota(i)}$, where ι is the standard involution of the nonaffine Dynkin diagram, induced by $\alpha_i \mapsto -w_0(\alpha_i)$; w_0 is the longest element in W. We set $m_{ij} = 2, 3, 4, 6$ when the number of links between α_i and α_j in the affine Dynkin diagram is $0, 1, 2, 3$. Recall that $\omega_r = \pi_r u_r$ for $r \in O'$ (see above).

Definition 1.1. *The double affine Hecke algebra* $\mathcal{H}$ *is generated over* $\mathbb{Z}_{q,t}$ *by the elements* $\{T_i,\ 0 \le i \le n\}$, *pairwise commutative* $\{X_b,\ b \in P\}$ *satisfying (1.3) and the group* Π, *where the following relations are imposed:*

(o) $(T_i - t_i^{1/2})(T_i + t_i^{-1/2}) = 0,\ 0 \le i \le n$;
(i) $T_iT_jT_i... = T_jT_iT_j...$, m_{ij} *factors on each side;*
(ii) $\pi_r T_i \pi_r^{-1} = T_j$ *if* $\pi_r(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j$;
(iii) $T_iX_b = X_bX_{\alpha_i}^{-1}T_i^{-1}$ *if* $(b, \alpha_i^\vee) = 1,\ 0 \le i \le n$;
(iv) $T_iX_b = X_bT_i$ *if* $(b, \alpha_i^\vee) = 0$ *for* $0 \le i \le n$;
(v) $\pi_r X_b \pi_r^{-1} = X_{\pi_r(b)} = X_{u_r^{-1}(b)} q^{(\omega_{\iota(r)}, b)},\ r \in O'$.

Given $\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}, r \in O$, the product

$$T_{\pi_r\widetilde{w}} \overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \pi_r T_{i_l} \cdots T_{i_1}, \quad \text{where} \quad \widetilde{w} = s_{i_l} \cdots s_{i_1} \quad \text{for} \quad l = l(\widetilde{w}), \tag{1.4}$$

does not depend on the choice of the reduced decomposition Moreover,

$$T_{\widehat{v}} T_{\widehat{w}} = T_{\widehat{v}\widehat{w}} \quad \text{whenever} \quad l(\widehat{v}\widehat{w}) = l(\widehat{v}) + l(\widehat{w}) \quad \text{for} \quad \widehat{v}, \widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}. \tag{1.5}$$

In particular, we arrive at the pairwise commutative elements:

$$Y_b \overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i^{l_i} \quad \text{if} \quad b = \sum_{i=1}^n l_i \omega_i \in P,\ Y_i \overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} T_{\omega_i}, b \in P. \tag{1.6}$$

1.3. **The automorphisms.** We will begin with the anti-involution

$$\begin{aligned} &X_b^\star = X_b^{-1},\ Y_b^\star = Y_b^{-1},\ T_i^\star = T_i^{-1}, \\ &t_\nu^\upsilon \mapsto t_\nu^{-\upsilon},\ q^\upsilon \mapsto q^{-\upsilon},\ 0 \le i \le n, \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where $\upsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$ ($\upsilon \in \frac{1}{2\mathsf{m}}\mathbb{Z}$ will, actually, be sufficient). See [Ch1],(3.2.18). This is the group inversion for any products of the generators $X_b, Y_b, T_i,$, as well as for $q^{1/\mathsf{m}}, t_\nu^{1/2}$, and therefore commutes with all automorphisms and anti-automorphisms below.

The following maps can be (uniquely) extended to an automorphism of $\mathcal{H}$, where $q^{1/(2\mathsf{m})}$ must be added to $\mathbb{Z}_{q,t}$ (see [Ch1], (3.2.10)-(3.2.15)):

$$\tau_+ :\ X_b \mapsto X_b,\ T_i \mapsto T_i\,(i>0),\quad Y_r \mapsto X_r Y_r q^{-\frac{(\omega_r,\omega_r)}{2}}, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\tau_+ :\ T_0 \mapsto q^{-1}\,X_\vartheta T_0^{-1},\ \pi_r \mapsto q^{-\frac{(\omega_r,\omega_r)}{2}} X_r\pi_r\ (r\in O'),$$

$$\tau_- :\ Y_b \mapsto\ Y_b,\ T_i \mapsto T_i\,(i\geq 0),\quad X_r \mapsto Y_r X_r q^{\frac{(\omega_r,\omega_r)}{2}}, \tag{1.9}$$

$$\tau_-(X_\vartheta) = qT_0 X_\vartheta^{-1} T_{s_\vartheta}^{-1};\quad \sigma \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \tau_+\tau_-^{-1}\tau_+ \ =\ \tau_-^{-1}\tau_+\tau_-^{-1},$$

$$\sigma(X_b) = Y_b^{-1},\ \sigma(Y_b) = T_{w_0}^{-1} X_{b^\iota}^{-1} T_{w_0},\ \sigma(T_i) = T_i (i>0). \tag{1.10}$$

These automorphisms fix $t_\nu,\ q$ and their fractional powers, as well as the following *anti-involution*:

$$\phi :\ X_b \mapsto Y_b^{-1},\ Y_b \mapsto X_b^{-1},\ T_i \mapsto T_i\ (1\leq i\leq n). \tag{1.11}$$

Extending the standard involution ι of the nonaffine Dynkin diagram used above, let $\iota(b) = b^\iota \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} -w_0(b)$ for $b\in P$ and the longest element $w_0\in W$. The relations

$$\iota(X_b) = X_{\iota(b)},\ \iota(Y_b) = Y_{\iota(b)},\ T_i^\iota = T_{\iota(i)} \tag{1.12}$$

can be uniquely extended the automorphism $H\mapsto \iota(H)\in\mathcal{H}$. Proposition 3.2.2 from [Ch1] states that

$$\sigma^2(H)\ =\ T_{w_0}^{-1}\,H^\iota\,T_{w_0}\ \text{ for }\ H\in\mathcal{H}. \tag{1.13}$$

We will also need the involutions $\varepsilon \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \varphi\cdot\star = \star\cdot\varphi$ (here $\cdot$ is used for the composition) and $\eta \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \varepsilon\sigma = \sigma^{-1}\varepsilon$:

$$\varepsilon : X_b \mapsto Y_b,\quad Y_b\mapsto X_b,\quad T_i\mapsto T_i^{-1}\,(1\leq i\leq n), \tag{1.14}$$

$$\eta : T_i\mapsto T_i^{-1},\ X_b\mapsto X_b^{-1},\ \pi_r\mapsto \pi_r\,(0\leq i\leq n), \tag{1.15}$$

where $b\in P,\ r\in O'$.

Both "conjugate" t,q; namely, $t_\nu^\upsilon \mapsto t_\nu^{-\upsilon}, q^\upsilon\mapsto q^{-\upsilon}$. The involution η extends the *Kazhdan–Lusztig involution* in the affine Hecke theory; see [Ch1], (3.2.19-22). Note that

$$\varepsilon\tau_\pm\varepsilon = \tau_\mp = \varphi\tau_\pm\varphi = \sigma\tau_\pm^{-1}\sigma^{-1},\ \eta\tau_\pm\eta = \tau_\pm^{-1},\ \varphi\sigma\varphi = \sigma^{-1} = \eta\sigma\eta.$$

Also, $\varphi\varepsilon = \varphi\varepsilon$ and $\varphi\eta\varphi = \eta\sigma^{-2} = \sigma^2\eta$. Let us list the matrices corresponding to the automorphisms and anti-automorphisms above upon the natural projection onto $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, corresponding to $t_\nu^\upsilon = 1 = q^\upsilon$

for any rational υ ($\upsilon \in \frac{1}{2\mathsf{m}}\mathbb{Z}$ is sufficient). The matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ will represent the map $X_b \mapsto X_b^{\alpha}Y_b^{\gamma}, Y_b \mapsto X_b^{\beta}Y_b^{\delta}$ for $b \in P$. One has:

$$\tau_+ \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & 1\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \tau_- \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & 0\\ 1 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \sigma \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix}0 & 1\\ -1 & 0\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \sigma^2 \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix}-1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{smallmatrix}\right),$$
$$\varepsilon \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \varphi \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix}0 & -1\\ -1 & 0\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \eta \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix}-1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \phi\sigma^2 \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

We define the *projective* $GL_2^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z})$ as the group generated by $\tau_{\pm}, \eta$ subject to the relations $\tau_+\tau_-^{-1}\tau_+ = \tau_-^{-1}\tau_+\tau_-^{-1}$, $\eta^2 = 1$ and $\eta\tau_{\pm}\eta = \tau_{\pm}^{-1}$. The span of $\tau_{\pm}$ is the projective $PSL_2^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z})$ (due to Steinberg), which is isomorphic to the braid group B_3.

1.4. **Macdonald polynomials.** Following [Ch1], we use the PBW theorem to express any $H \in \mathcal{H}\!\!\mathcal{H}$ in the form $\sum_{a,w,b} c_{a,w,b}\, X_a T_w Y_b$ for $w \in W$, $a, b \in P$ (this presentation is unique). Then we substitute:

$$\{\,\}_{ev}:\ X_a \ \mapsto\ q^{-(\rho_k,a)},\ Y_b \ \mapsto\ q^{(\rho_k,b)},\ T_i \ \mapsto\ t_i^{1/2}. \tag{1.16}$$

By construction, the resulting functional $\mathcal{H}\!\!\mathcal{H} \ni H \mapsto \{H\}_{ev}$ acts via the projection $H \mapsto H(1)$ of $\mathcal{H}\!\!\mathcal{H}$ onto the *polynomial representation* $\mathcal{V}$, which is the $\mathcal{H}\!\!\mathcal{H}$–module induced from the one-dimensional character $T_i(1) = t_i^{-1/2} = Y_i(1)$ for $1 \le i \le n$ and $T_0(1) = t_0^{-1/2}$; recall that $t_0 = t_{\rm sht}$. Explicitly, $\{\,H\,\}_{ev} = H(1)(q^{-\rho_k})$; see [Ch1, Ch4].

In detail, the polynomial representation $\mathcal{V}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{q,t}[X_b]$ as a vector space and the action of $T_i (0 \le i \le n)$ there is given by the *Demazure-Lusztig operators*:

$$T_i \ =\ t_i^{1/2}s_i \ +\ (t_i^{1/2} - t_i^{-1/2})(X_{\alpha_i} - 1)^{-1}(s_i - 1),\ 0 \le i \le n. \tag{1.17}$$

The elements X_b become the multiplication operators and $\pi_r (r \in O')$ act via the general formula $\widehat{w}(X_b) = X_{\widehat{w}(b)}$ for $\widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}$. Note that τ_- and η naturally act in the polynomial representation. For the latter,

$$\eta(f) = f^{\star},\ \text{ where }\ X_b^{\star} = X_{-b}, (q^{\upsilon})^{\star} = q^{-\upsilon}, (t^{\upsilon})^{\star} = t^{-\upsilon}\ \text{ for }\ \upsilon \in \mathbb{Q}. \tag{1.18}$$

One has the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\,\varphi(H)\,\}_{ev} = \{\,H\,\}_{ev},\ \{\,\iota(H)\,\}_{ev} = \{\,H\,\}_{ev},\\ &\{\,\eta(H)\,\}_{ev} = \{\,H\,\}_{ev}^{\star},\ \{\,\sigma^2(H)\,\}_{ev} = \{\,H\,\}_{ev}.\end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

The Macdonald polynomials $P_b(X)$ (due to Kadell for the classical root systems) are uniquely defined as follows. For $b \in P_+$,

$$P_b - \sum_{b' \in W(b)} X_{b'} \in \oplus_{b_+ \neq c_+ \in b+Q_+} \mathbb{Q}'_{q,t} X_c, \text{ and } CT\big(P_b X_c\, \mu(X;q,t)\big) = 0,$$

$$c_+ \in W(c) \cap P_+, \ \ \mu(X;q,t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=\!=} \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-X_\alpha q_\alpha^j)(1-X_\alpha^{-1} q_\alpha^{j+1})}{(1-X_\alpha t_\alpha q_\alpha^j)(1-X_\alpha^{-1} t_\alpha q_\alpha^{j+1})}\,.$$

Here CT is the constant term; μ is considered a Laurent series of X_b with the coefficients expanded in terms of positive powers of q. The coefficients of P_b belong to the $\mathbb{Q}(q, t_\nu)$ (see also below). One has:

$$P_b(X^{-1}) \ = \ P_{b'}(X) \ = \ P_b^\star(X), \ \ P_b(q^{-\rho_k}) = P_b(q^{\rho_k}) \tag{1.20}$$

$$=(P_b(q^{-\rho_k}))^\star = q^{-(\rho_k,b)} \prod_{\alpha>0} \prod_{j=0}^{(\alpha^\vee,b)-1} \Big(\frac{1 - q_\alpha^j t_\alpha X_\alpha(q^{\rho_k})}{1 - q_\alpha^j X_\alpha(q^{\rho_k})}\Big). \tag{1.21}$$

DAHA provides an important alternative (operator) approach to P–polynomials; namely, they satisfy the defining relations

$$L_f(P_b) = f(q^{\rho_k+b}) P_b, \ \ L_f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=\!=} f(X_a \mapsto Y_a) \tag{1.22}$$

for any symmetric (W–invariant) polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[X_a, a \in P]^W$.

These polynomials are t-symmetrizations of the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials $E_b \in \mathcal{V}$, which are defined for $b \in P_+$ from the relations $Y_a(E_b) = q^{-(a,b+w_b(\rho_k))} E_b$ for all a. Here w_b is the element of *maximal* length in the centralizer of b in W. The normalization here is by the condition that the coefficient of X_b in E_b is 1. More exactly, $E_b - X_b \in \oplus_{b_+ \neq c_+ \in b+Q_+} \mathbb{Q}(q, t_\nu) X_c$. See [Mac] and (6.14) from [Ch2] or (3.3.14) from [Ch1] (the differential version is due to Opdam):

$$E_b(q^{-\rho_k}) = q^{-(\rho_k,b)} \prod_{\alpha>0} \prod_{j=1}^{(\alpha^\vee,b)-1} \Big(\frac{1 - q_\alpha^j t_\alpha X_\alpha(q^{\rho_k})}{1 - q_\alpha^j X_\alpha(q^{\rho_k})}\Big) \ \text{ for } \ b \in P_+. \tag{1.23}$$

For any $b \in P$, we define E–polynomials as follows:

$$Y_a(E_b) \ = \ q^{-(a,b+w_b(\rho_k))} E_b, \ \ \text{where the coefficient of } \ X_b \in E_b \ \text{ is } \ 1,$$
$$\text{and } \ w_b \in W \ \text{ is of maximal possible length such that } \ b \in w_b(P_+).$$

The technique of intertwining operators for the E–polynomials results in the following *existence criterion*. Assuming that q is not a root of unity, the products $den_b \cdot E_b$, $den_b \cdot P_b$ and $num_b \cdot E_b/E_b(q^{-\rho_k})$

for $b \in P_+$ are well defined for the numerator num_b and the denominator den_b of the expression for $E_b(q^{-\rho_k})$ from (1.23). Note that all binomials in num_b, den_b involve q and t (both). Also :

$$P_b(q^{-\rho_k}) = \Pi_R^b\, E_b(q^{-\rho_k}) \quad \text{for} \quad b \in P_+,\ \Pi_R^b = \prod_{\alpha>0,(\alpha,b)>0} \frac{1 - t_\alpha X_\alpha(q^{\rho_k})}{1 - X_\alpha(q^{\rho_k})}.$$

The product Π_R^b becomes the *Poincaré polynomial* Π_R for generic $b \in P_+$. See formulas (6.33), (7.15) from [Ch2] and (3.3.45) from [Ch1].

Setting $E_b^\circ = E_b/E_b(q^{-\rho_k})$ for any b and $P_b^\circ = P_b/P_b(q^{-\rho_k})$ for $b \in P_+$, the following relation holds for any $b \in P$:

$$P_{b_+}^\circ = (\Pi_R)^{-1}\mathscr{P}(E_b^\circ) \quad \text{for} \quad \mathscr{P}_+ \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \sum_{w\in W} t_{\mathrm{sht}}^{l_{\mathrm{sht}}(w)/2} t_{\mathrm{lng}}^{l_{\mathrm{lng}}(w)/2} T_w, \tag{1.24}$$

where $l_{\mathrm{sht}}, l_{\mathrm{lng}}$ count correspondingly the number of s_i for short and long α_i in any reduced decomposition $w = s_{i_l}\cdots s_{i_1}$. We check that the right-hand side here is proportional to P_{b_+} and then evaluate at $q^{-\rho_k}$ by applying the general formula $\{T_i(f)\}_{ev} = t_i^{1/2}\{f\}_{ev}$ for $i > 0$.

The following particular case of (1.24) will be mainly used:

$$\prod_{\alpha>0,(\alpha,b)=0} \frac{1 - t_\alpha X_\alpha(q^{\rho_k})}{1 - X_\alpha(q^{\rho_k})}\, P_b = \mathscr{P}_+(E_b) \quad \text{for} \quad b \in P_+.$$

1.5. **DAHA-Jones polynomials.** The following theorem is the first part of Conjecture 2.1 from [Ch4] extended as follows. We enlarge $PSL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$ used there to $GL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$, consider in detail the symmetry $(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}) \mapsto (\mathsf{s},\mathsf{r})$ and the mirror images in (1.27), and add important color-exchange relations (1.29); last but not least, the justifications are provided.

We represent a given torus knot $T(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s})$ by a matrix $\gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that its first column is $(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s})^{tr}$ (tr is the transposition), assuming that $\gcd(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}) = 1$; r,s can be 0 or negative. Then $\widehat{\gamma}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}} \in GL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$ is by definition a pullback of $\gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}$.

Note that any (r,s) can be obviously lifted to γ of determinant 1 and, accordingly, to $\widehat{\gamma}$ from the subgroup $PSL_2^\wedge$ generated by $\{\tau_\pm\}$, i.e. without using η. This is sufficient for the construction of the DAHA-Jones polynomials below; using $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ instead of $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is equivalent to the conjugation relation from (1.27).

For a polynomial F in terms of positive and negative fractional powers of q and t_ν, the *tilde-normalization* $\widetilde{F}$ will be the result of division

by the lowest q, t_ν–monomial (assuming that it is well defined); $\widetilde{F}$ contains only non-negative powers of q, t_ν. In the following theorem, the lowest monomials always exist and the powers are integral.

Theorem 1.2. *(i)* Polynomiality. *Given $T(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s})$, a root system R and a weight $b \in P_+$, we set*

$$JD^R_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t) = JD_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t) \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \{\,\widehat{\gamma}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(P_b)/P_b(q^{-\rho_k})\,\}_{ev}. \tag{1.25}$$

Then $\widetilde{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t)$, the tilde-normalization of the (reduced) DAHA-Jones polynomial, does not depend on the particular choice of $\gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\widehat{\gamma}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}} \in GL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$. It is a polynomial in terms of $q, \{t_\nu\}$. Moreover, using the conjugation involution $\star$ from (1.18),

$$JD^R_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t) = \{\,\widehat{\gamma}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(E_c)/E_c(q^{-\rho_k})\,\}_{ev} \ \text{ for } \ c \in W(b), \tag{1.26}$$

$$\widetilde{JD}_{\mathsf{r},1}(b\,;\,q,t) = 1, \quad JD_{\mathsf{r},-\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t) = \big(JD_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t)\big)^\star,$$

$$JD_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t) \ = \ JD_{\mathsf{s},\mathsf{r}}(b\,;\,q,t) \ = \ JD_{-\mathsf{s},-\mathsf{r}}(b\,;\,q,t). \tag{1.27}$$

(ii) Color Exchange. *Let us assume that for $b, c \in P_+$ and certain $id \neq w \in W$,*

$$q^{\,(b+\rho_k-w(\rho_k)-w(c)\,,\,\alpha)} = 1 = q_\alpha^{(b-w(c)\,,\,\alpha^\vee)}\, t_{\mathrm{sht}}^{(\rho^w_{\mathrm{sht}}\,,\,\alpha^\vee)}\, t_{\mathrm{lng}}^{(\rho^w_{\mathrm{lng}}\,,\,\alpha^\vee)} \tag{1.28}$$

for any $\alpha \in R_+$, where q is not a root of unity and $\rho^w_\nu \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} w(\rho_\nu) - \rho_\nu$. For instance, taking $P_+ \ni b = w(\rho_k) - \rho_k + w(c)$ for a given $c \in P_+$ is sufficient. The product in the right-hand side is in terms of integral powers of q_ν and $t_\nu^{l_\nu}$, where $\rho^w_\nu \in l_\nu P$ for $l_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then

$$JD_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t) = JD_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(c\,;\,q,t) \ \text{ for such } \ q, \{t_\nu\} \ \text{ and any } \ \mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}. \tag{1.29}$$

In particular, this holds for $w = s_i (i > 0), c \in P_+$ and for

$$b = c - \big(k_i + (c, \alpha_i^\vee)\big)\alpha_i \ \text{ provided } \ 2k_i + (c,\alpha_i^\vee) \in -\mathbb{Z}_+ \ \text{ and } \tag{1.30}$$
$$(c,\alpha_j^\vee) + \nu(k_i + (c,\alpha_i^\vee)) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \ \text{ for all } \ j > 0 \ \text{ such that } (\alpha_i,\alpha_j) < 0,$$

where $\nu = \nu_i$ for short α_j and $\nu = 1$ otherwise (thus $k_i \in -\mathbb{Z}_+$ unless for A_1 and if α_i is long for $C_{n\geq 2}$). Another particular case of (1.28) is $w = w_0$ with $2k_\nu \in -\mathbb{Z}_+$, where

$$b = -2\rho_k + w_0(c) \ \text{ provided } \ (c,\alpha_i^\vee) \leq -2k_i \ \text{ for all } \ i > 0. \tag{1.31}$$

(iii) Evaluation. *Relation (1.28) results in (1.29) for any q, including roots of unity, due to the polynomiality of $JD_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t)$. Upon $q\to 1$, one has $E_{w_0(b)}=P_b$ and $P_{b+c}=P_bP_c$ for $b,c\in P_+$. Accordingly,*

$$\widetilde{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}\big(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\omega_i\,;\,q=1,t\big)=\prod_{i=1}^n \widetilde{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\omega_i\,;\,q=1,t)^{b_i}\quad \textit{for any } \mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}. \tag{1.32}$$

Proof. By construction, $\widetilde{JD}^R_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t)$ depends only on the first column of the matrix $\gamma=\gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}$. The switch from $P^\circ_b=P_b/P_b(q^{-\rho_k})$ to $E^\circ_b=E_b/E_b(q^{-\rho_k})$ is straightforward, since one can perform the $t-$symmetrization inside (1.26) using formula (1.24). Let us deduce the symmetries in (1.26) from (1.19). First of all, (1.12) and (1.19) result in $\iota\cdot\widehat{\gamma}=\widehat{\gamma}\cdot\iota$ for any $\widehat{\gamma}\in GL^\wedge_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and therefore provide

$$JD^R_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\iota(b)\,;\,q,t)=JD^R_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t). \tag{1.33}$$

Then, using the $\varphi-$invariance of $\{\cdot\}_{ev}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\{\varphi\big(\cdots\tau_+^\beta\tau_-^\alpha(P^\circ_b)\big)\}_{ev}&=\{\cdots\tau_-^\beta\tau_+^\alpha\big(P^\circ_b(Y^{-1})\big)\}_{ev}\\ =\{\cdots\tau_-^\beta\tau_+^\alpha\sigma\big(P^\circ_b(X)\big)\}_{ev}&=\{\big(\cdots\tau_-^\beta\tau_+^\alpha\sigma\big)(P^\circ_b)\}_{ev},\end{aligned} \tag{1.34}$$

which proves that $JD_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t)=JD_{-\mathsf{s},-\mathsf{r}}(b\,;\,q,t)$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\eta\big(\cdots\tau_+^\beta\tau_-^\alpha(P^\circ_b)\big)\}_{ev}=\{\cdots\tau_+^{-\beta}\tau_-^{-\alpha}\big((P^\circ_b)^\star\big)\}_{ev}\\ =&\{\cdots\tau_+^{-\beta}\tau_-^{-\alpha}\big(P^\circ_{\iota(b)}\big)\big)\}_{ev}=\{\cdots\tau_+^{-\beta}\tau_-^{-\alpha}\big(P^\circ_b\big)\big)\}_{ev}=\{\cdots\tau_+^{\beta}\tau_-^{\alpha}(P^\circ_b)\}^\star_{ev},\end{aligned}$$

which results in $JD_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t)=JD_{\mathsf{r},-\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t)^\star$. Combining this symmetry with the previous one,

$$\begin{aligned}JD_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t)&=JD_{-s,r}(b\,;\,q,t)^\star=JD_{-\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t)^\star\\ &=JD_{-\mathsf{r},-\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t)=JD_{\mathsf{s},\mathsf{r}}(b\,;\,q,t).\end{aligned}$$

The latter symmetry can be established directly following (1.34):

$$\begin{aligned}\{\varphi\big(\cdots\tau_+^\beta\tau_-^\alpha(P^\circ_b)\big)\}_{ev}&=\{\cdots\tau_-^\beta\tau_+^\alpha\big(P^\circ_b(Y^{-1})\big)\}_{ev}\\ =\{\cdots\tau_-^\beta\tau_+^\alpha\sigma^{-1}\big(P^\circ_{\iota(b)}(X)\big)\}_{ev}&=\{\cdots\tau_-^\beta\tau_+^\alpha\sigma^{-1}\big(P^\circ_b(X)\big)\}_{ev},\end{aligned}$$

where we use (1.13) and (1.33).

Finally for $b\in P_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}&JD_{\mathsf{r},1}(b\,;\,q,t)=JD_{1,\mathsf{r}}(b\,;\,q,t)=\{\,\tau_-^{\mathsf{r}}(P^\circ_b)\,\}_{ev}=\{\,\tau_-^{\mathsf{r}}\cdot P^\circ_b\cdot\tau_-^{-\mathsf{r}}(1)\mid_\nu)\,\}_{ev}\\ &=\{\,\tau_-^{\mathsf{r}}(P^\circ_b)\mid_\nu\}_{ev}=\{\,q^{-\mathsf{r}(b,b)/2-\mathsf{r}(b,\rho_k)}P^\circ_b\,\}_{ev}=q^{-\mathsf{r}(b,b)/2-\mathsf{r}(b,\rho_k)},\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_-^{\pm r}(\cdot)\mid_\nu$ means the action in $\mathcal{V}$; see formula (1.37) below.

Polynomiality of JD. We will use the *radical*, Rad, of the *evaluation pairing* defined as follows:

$$\{E,F\}_{ev} \,=\, E(Y^{-1})(F(X))(t^{-\rho}),\ \ E,F\in\mathcal{V}\,.$$

Theorem 11.8 from [Ch2] gives the necessary and sufficient conditions for $Rad\neq\{0\}$ for generic q. For instance (though we do not really need this), the radical is nonzero in the case of ADE if and only if

$$t=q^{-l-\frac{j}{m_i+1}}\,\zeta_i^{j'}\ \text{ for }\ 1\le i\le n,\ 0\le j,j'\le m_i,\ j+j'>0,\ l\in\mathbb{Z}_+,$$

where $t=t_{\rm sht}$, ζ_i are primitive (m_i+1)th roots of unity for the classical *exponents* m_i (see [Bo]). This is Theorem 11.1 from [Ch2].

If $JD_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b;q,t)$ is not a polynomial for $b\in P_+$ and admissible r,s, then $E_b^\circ=E_b/E_b(q^{-\rho_k})$ has a pole at $\epsilon=0$ of order $l>0$ for ϵ equal to one of the binomials $(1-q_\alpha^jt_\alpha^p)$ in the numerator num_b of (1.23) for certain $j>0,p>0$. Recall that the inequality $j>0$ here is due to using E–polynomials; see (1.26). Here and further we will localize and complete the ring of constants $\mathbb{Z}_{q,t}=\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1/\mathsf{m}},t_\nu^{\pm1/2}]$ with respect to ϵ, i.e. for the principle ideal $(1-q_\alpha^jt_\alpha^p)$; the notations will be $\mathbb{Z}_{q,t}^{(\epsilon)},\mathcal{V}^{(\epsilon)}$. For the sake of definiteness, we will take a maximal binomial here, i.e. such that $(1-q_\alpha^{jv}t_\alpha^{pv})$ is not in num_b for any $\mathbb{Z}\ni v>1$. Accordingly, we introduce the following filtration of submodules of $\mathcal{V}$:

$$Rad_{\epsilon,\ell}=\big\{F\in\mathcal{V}\ \big|\ \{F,\mathcal{V}\}_{ev}\in\epsilon^\ell\mathbb{Z}_{q,t}\big\}\ \text{ for }\ \ell\in\mathbb{Z}_+ \tag{1.35}$$

setting $Rad(\epsilon=0)=Rad_{\epsilon,\infty}$; we add $q^{1/(2\mathsf{m})}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{q,t}^{(\epsilon)}$ here and below.

Note that it is generally not impossible that such $(1-q_\alpha^jt_\alpha^p)$ coincides with one of the binomials in the denominator den_b of (1.23). As a matter of fact, this is not the case due to the consideration below, but we do not really need this fact.

The polynomials $E_b'=\epsilon^lE_b^\circ$, $P_b'=\epsilon^lP_b^\circ\in\mathcal{V}^{(\epsilon)}$ are eigenfunctions respectively for $\{Y_a\}$ and $\{L_f\}$ from (1.22). Moreover, $E_b'(q^{-\rho_k})\in\epsilon^l\mathbb{Z}_{q,t}^{(\epsilon)}\ni P_b'(q^{-\rho_k})$. Therefore $E_b'\in Rad_{\epsilon,l}\ni P_b'$; see e.g., Lemmas 11.4-5 from [Ch2]. Thus $Rad(\epsilon=0)\neq\{0\}$ and, for instance, Theorem 11.8 there implies that $(1-q_\alpha^jt_\alpha^p)$ does not coincide with the binomials in den_b from (1.23) (as it was claimed above).

Let us now switch from Rad to

$$RAD_{\epsilon,\ell}\stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}\big\{H\in\mathcal{H}\ \big|\ \{\mathcal{H}H\mathcal{H}\}_{ev}\in\epsilon^\ell\mathbb{Z}_{q,t}\big\}\ \text{ for }\ \ell\in\mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{1.36}$$

We set $RAD(\epsilon=0)=RAD_{\epsilon,\infty}$. Equivalently, one has:

$$RAD_{\epsilon,\ell}=\{H\in\mathcal{HH}\mid H(\mathcal{V})\subset Rad_{\epsilon,\ell}\},$$

since $Rad_{\epsilon,\ell}=\{F\in\mathcal{V}\mid\{\mathcal{HH}(F)\}_{ev}\in\epsilon^{\ell}\mathbb{Z}_{q,t}^{(\epsilon)}\}$; see Lemma 11.3, [Ch2].

Here q is not a root of unity. Therefore Proposition 3.2 from [Ch3] states that any $Y-$invariant submodule of $\mathcal{V}$ is invariant with respect to the natural action of τ_- in $\mathcal{V}$. For instance,

$$\tau_-(E_b)=q^{-(b,b)/2-(b,\rho_k)}E_b\ \text{ and }\ \tau_-(P_b)=q^{-(b,b)/2-(b,\rho_k)}P_b, \tag{1.37}$$

assuming that E_b for $b\in P_+$ is well defined. See also Proposition 3.3.4 from [Ch1].

Therefore ψ and τ_- preserve $RAD_{\epsilon,r}$ for any $r\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ (and generic q), as well as η. Thus the whole $GL_2^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z})$ fixes each $RAD_{\epsilon,r}$. This implies that $\widehat{\gamma}(P_b')\in RAD_{\epsilon,l}$ and $\{\widehat{\gamma}(P_b^{\circ})\}_{ev}$ is divisible by ϵ^l. Hence $\widetilde{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;q,t)$ has no singularity at $\epsilon=0$, which contradiction is sufficient to claim polynomiality of $\widetilde{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;q,t)$ for any $b\in P_+$ and r,s.

Parts (ii,iii). The justification of Part (ii) of the theorem is quite similar. Let ϵ be 1 minus the right-hand side of (1.28) and $l\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ is minimal such that $\epsilon^l P_b^{\circ}$ and $\epsilon^l P_c^{\circ}$ are regular in $\mathcal{V}^{(\epsilon)}$; as above, $\mathcal{V}^{(\epsilon)}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Z}_{q,t}^{(\epsilon)}$. The conditions from (1.28) are necessary and sufficient for these polynomials to have coinciding eigenvalues for any L_f from (1.22). This coincidence results in the relations $\epsilon^l(P_b^{\circ}-P_c^{\circ})\in Rad_{\epsilon,l+1}$, which gives the required.

Note that given c,q,t_ν, there always exists $b=\in P_+$ satisfying (1.28) such that the polynomial P_b° is regular at $\epsilon=0$. The regularity of $JD_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;q,t)$ from (i) is granted automatically for such b. Moreover one such b can be canonically constructed in terms of the *right Bruhat ordering* defined for the root subsystem of $\widetilde{R}$ associated with the stabilizer of ρ_k in $\widehat{W}$. It was called *primary* in [Ch2]; see formula (9.2) from Section 9.1 there.

It is not really necessary to assume in (1.28) that q is not a root of unity, since we have the polynomiality of the $JD-$polynomials. Let us make $q=1$. Then the technique of intertwining operators of $E-$polynomials readily results in the formula $E_{w_0(b)}=P_b$ for $b\in P_+$ and the multiplicative property $P_bP_c=P_{b+c}$ for $b,c\in P_+$. We use that $E_{s_i(b)}$ is $(s_i+1)E_b$ for $b\in P$ assuming that $(\alpha_i,b)>0$ for $i>0$. Also, $E_{b+u\vartheta}=X_{\vartheta}s_{\vartheta}(E_b)+E_b$ if $u=1-(\vartheta,b)>0$ and $E_{b+\omega_r}=X_{\omega_r}\pi_r(E_b)$

for $r\in O'$. See e.g., Proposition 3.3.5 from [Ch1]. Therefore

$$\widehat{\gamma}(P_b)=\prod_{i=1}^n\widehat{\gamma}(P_{\omega_i})^{b_i}\quad\text{for}\quad b=\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\omega_i\in P_+. \tag{1.38}$$

We need to apply this operator to $1\in\mathcal{V}$. Firstly, L_f is a constant, which is $\{f\}=f(t_{\rm sht}^{\rho_{\rm sht}}t_{\rm lng}^{\rho_{\rm lng}})$, when acting on symmetric polynomial $F\in\mathcal{V}^W$ for any symmetric f due to $q=1$; see (1.22). Secondly, the elements $f(X)$ and L_f are *central* in $\mathcal{H}\!\!\!\mathcal{H}$ if $q^{1/\mathsf{m}}=1$, as well as any operators $\widehat{\gamma}(f(X))$ for symmetric f and any $\gamma\in GL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$. See Theorem 3.8.5 from [Ch1]. The action of $GL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$ in the center of $\mathcal{H}\!\!\!\mathcal{H}$ at $q=1$ and at the roots of unity is important in DAHA theory and have geometric applications. For instance, we have that $f(Y)=\{f\}$ in the whole $\mathcal{V}$ for symmetric f and $q^{1/\mathsf{m}}=1$. Thirdly and finally,

$$\widehat{\gamma}(P_b)(F\in\mathcal{V}^W)\ =\ \prod_{i=1}^n\big(\widehat{\gamma}(P_{\omega_i})(F)\big)^{b_i}\quad\text{for}\quad\gamma=\gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}\quad\text{and}$$

$$JD_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;q{=}1,t)=\big\{\big(\widehat{\gamma}(P_b)(1{\in}\mathcal{V}^W)\big\}=\prod_{i=1}^n\big\{\widehat{\gamma}(P_{\omega_i})(1)\big\}^{b_i}.\qquad\square$$

We mention that Part (ii) leads to *resonance* $\widetilde{JD}$*–polynomials*, which are the limits of linear combinations of $\widetilde{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t)$ for the same q,t_ν and for b from (ii) corresponding to a given c. We divide such linear combinations by the leading powers of ϵ before taking the limit $\epsilon\to 0$; ϵ is 1 minus the right-hand side of (1.28). They are related to the *nonsemisimple Macdonald polynomials* from [Ch2] in the spherical normalization, but we will not touch this upon in the present paper.

1.6. **Superpolynomials.** Theorem 1.2 has the following extension to the *DAHA- superpolynomials* in type A_n. The following stabilization theorem was announced in [Ch4]; it was mentioned there (Section 2.4.1, "Confirmations") that its justification is similar to Lemma 4.3 and formula (4.1) from [SV]. The complete proof is published in [GN] (following [SV]). We switch to A_n and naturally set $t=t_{\rm sht}=q^k$.

Theorem 1.3. *(i) For the root system A_n, let us consider $P_+\ni b=\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\omega_i$ as a (dominant) weight for any A_N with $N\geq n-1$, where we set $\omega_n=0$ upon the restriction to A_{n-1}. Then given $T(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s})$, there exists $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t,a)$, a polynomial in terms of $a,q,t^{\pm1}$, such that its*

coefficient of a^0 *is tilde-normalized (i.e. in the form* $\sum_{u,v\geq 0} C_{u,v}q^u t^v$ *with* $C_{0,0}=1$*) and the following specializations hold:*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t,a=-t^{N+1}) = \widetilde{JD}^{A_N}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t) \ \text{ for any } \ N\geq n-1. \tag{1.39}$$

(ii) Imposing the Color Exchange relation (1.28), we will consider w *there as an element of* $\mathbf{S}_{N+1}$ *for every* $N\geq n$ *(the Weyl group for* A_N*) naturally acting in the corresponding* P*. Then given* r,s *and up to a proper power* $q^\bullet t^\bullet$*,*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t,a) = q^\bullet t^\bullet\, \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(c\,;\,q,t,a) \ \text{ for such } \ q,\{t_\nu\}. \tag{1.40}$$

In particular, let $w=s_i=(i,i+1)$ *with* $i<n$*. Then for a dominant* c *and* $b=c-\big(k+(c,\alpha_i)\big)\alpha_i$*, the components of* b *are*

$$b_i=-2k-c_i,\ b_j=c_j+c_i+k \text{ for } j=i\pm 1>0,\ b_j=c_j \text{ otherwise.} \tag{1.41}$$

Here $k\in -\mathbb{Z}_+$ *satisfies the relations* $c_i/2\leq -k\leq c_i+\min\{c_{i\pm 1>0}\}$*, which are necessary and sufficient for* $b\in P_+$*.*

(iii) Making $q=1$*, one has:*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q=1,t,a)=\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\omega_i\,;\,q=1,t,a)^{b_i} \ \text{ for } \ b=\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\omega_i. \tag{1.42}$$

This gives that the $a-$*degree* $deg_a(\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t,a)$ *of* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,t,a)$ *equals* $\min(|\mathsf{r}|,|\mathsf{s}|)$ *times the number of boxes in the Young diagram* λ_b *associated with* $b\in P_+$*. These claims are from Conjecture 2.6 of* [Ch4]. □

The conjectural relation of DAHA-superpolynomials to the stable *Khovanov- Rozansky polynomials* for sl_{n+1} is as follows: $a\mapsto t^{n+1}\sqrt{t/q}$. Note that this is not the substitution from (1.39), but they are linked. See Section 2.3 in [Ch4] for discussion and some references; in particular, formula (2.12) there connects our (DAHA -based) parameters with the standard ones. Such relations match the conjectural links between the Khovanov- Rozansky homology [KhR1, KhR2, Ras] and the superpolynomials introduced via the *BPS states* [DGR, AS, FGS, GGS] as well as those obtained in terms of *rational DAHA*, which are deeply related to certain *Hilbert schemes* [GORS, GN].

The coincidence was confirmed when the stable Khovanov-Rozansky polynomials are available and for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b\,;\,q,q,-a)$, which do coincide with the corresponding *HOMFLYPT polynomial*. See Proposition 2.3

from [Ch4],[Ste] and [RJ] (concerning the Jones polynomials and Quantum groups) and references there. When $n+1=0$, the relation to the *Heegard- Floer homology* of torus knots is expected.

Color exchange combinatorially. We associate with $c=\sum_{i=1}^n c_i\omega_i$ in Part (ii) the Young diagram

$$\lambda_c=\{m_1=c_1+\ldots+c_n, m_2=c_1+\ldots+c_{n-1},\ldots,m_n=c_n,0,0,\ldots\}.$$

Then we switch to $\lambda'_c=\{m'_i=m_i-k(i-1)\}$, apply $w\in W$ to λ'_c and finally obtain

$$\lambda_b=\{m'_{w(i)}+k(i-1),\}=\{m_{w(i)}+k(i-w(i))\}. \tag{1.43}$$

Here w transforms the rows of λ and we set $w\{m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_n\}=\{m_{w(1)},m_{w(2)},\ldots,m_{w(n)}\}$. Given $k<0$ (it can be fractional), λ_b *must be a Young diagram*, which determines the set of all w that can be used. Note that when $k=+1$ (formally), the procedure $\lambda_c\mapsto\lambda_b$ is actually from the Jacobi-Trudi formula.

Let us briefly discuss the Duality Conjectures 2.5 from [Ch4]. It states that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\lambda\,;q,t,a)=q^\bullet t^\bullet\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\lambda^{tr}\,;t^{-1},q^{-1},a), \tag{1.44}$$

where we switch from weights to the corresponding Young diagrams and λ^{tr} is the transposition of λ. This was justified in [GN] using the *modified Macdonald polynomials*. Let us outline a direct proof (which is expected to work for $C^\vee C_n$). We use the *perfect repsentation* at $t=q^{-(s+1)/(n+1)}$, which is defined as $\mathcal{V}/Rad$ for $s\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ provided $\gcd(s+1,n+1)=1$. More generally, the Coxeter number must be used here instead of $(n+1)$. See [Ch1]. Then $a=-t^{n+1}=-(q^{-1})^{s+1}$ and we can identify the left-hand side of (1.44) for A_n with the right-hand side for A_s. This identification goes via the theory at roots of unity; we compare the zeros in both sides of (1.44).

More on Part (iii). Formula (1.42) follows directly from (1.32). Let us mention that the formulas for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\omega_i\,;q=1,t,a)$ are not difficult to calculate for simple knots, but generally they are involved (even for $q=1$). Note that (1.32) combined with the duality results in

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\lambda\,;q,t=1,a)=\prod_{i=1}^n\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m_i\omega_1\,;q,t=1,a)^{m_i}, \tag{1.45}$$

where m_i is the number of boxes in the ith row of λ. A direct justification of (1.45) without using the duality is not clear at the moment.

Concerning the a–degrees there, it is relatively straightforward to justify that $\deg_a(JD_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\lambda\,;\,q,t,a) \leq \min(|\mathsf{r}|,|\mathsf{s}|)\deg(\lambda)$; see e.g. [GN]. Then the evaluation formula at $q=1$ (or even that for $q=1=t$) gives that we actually have the equality.

1.7. **Examples of color exchange.** To begin with, let $i=1, k=-2$, $c=\omega_1+\omega_2, b=3\omega_1$ in Part (ii) of the theorem. According to Section 3.1 from [Ch4],

$$\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(\omega_1+\omega_2;\,q,t,a) \;=$$

$$1+\tfrac{a^3q^6}{t}+2qt-qt^2+2q^2t^2+q^3t^2-q^2t^3+2q^3t^3-q^3t^4+2q^4t^4+q^5t^5$$
$$+a\big(2q^2+q^3+\tfrac{q}{t}-q^2t+3q^3t+q^4t-q^3t^2+3q^4t^2+q^5t^2-q^4t^3+2q^5t^3+q^6t^4\big)$$
$$+a^2\big(q^4+q^5+\tfrac{q^3}{t}+\tfrac{q^4}{t}-q^4t+q^5t+q^6t+q^6t^2\big)\,.$$

This is, by the way, the simplest example of a DAHA-superpolynomial with negative coefficients. Conjecturally, the negative terms are not present only for rectangle Young diagrams; see [Ch4]. This is in obvious contrast with formula (4.14) from [GGS] for the *3-hook*. It resembles our one (both have the same number of terms), but has no negative terms. The t–powers are odd and even there (they are even in our one upon using the standard parameters q,t,a). Formula (4.14) is of course a suggestion, not the result of a formal calculation.

The second superpolynomial is

$$\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(3\omega_1;\,q,t,a) \;=$$

$$1+a^3q^{12}+q^3t+q^4t+q^5t+q^6t^2+q^7t^2+q^8t^2+q^9t^3$$
$$+a\big(q^3+q^4+q^5+q^6t+2q^7t+2q^8t+q^9t+q^9t^2+q^{10}t^2+q^{11}t^2\big)$$
$$+a^2\big(q^7+q^8+q^9+q^{10}t+q^{11}t+q^{12}t\big)\,.$$

Combinatorially, the procedure is as follows:

$\lambda_c =$  $= \lambda_b.$

Here $-\rho'$ is a stable re-normalization of $-\rho$ given by the diagram $\{0,1,2,3,\cdots\}$. The boxes added due to the translation by $k\rho'=-2\rho'$ are marked by $+$. Generally, we can add fractional boxes here if k is fractional (recall that it is always negative).

We obtain that

$$q^4\,\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(\omega_1+\omega_2;\,q,t,a)=\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(3\omega_1;\,q,t,a) \;\text{ for }\; t=q^{-2}. \tag{1.46}$$

The corresponding *resonance JD–polynomial* is

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\big(tq^6\,\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(\omega_1+\omega_2;\,q,t,a)-\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(3\omega_1;\,q,t,a)\big)/\epsilon\ \text{ for }\ \epsilon=1-tq^2.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(\omega_1+\omega_2;\,q,t,a)$ contains negative terms, a remarkable cancelation of monomials occurs in this polynomials upon $t=q^{-2}$. Relation (1.46) holds for any r,s with a proper coefficient of proportionality, which is q^{12} for the knot $T(3,4)$.

Let us take now $c=\omega_1+\omega_2, w=s_1s_2s_1, k=-1/2$. Then $b=\omega_3$ and we obtain that $t^\bullet\,\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\omega_1+\omega_2;\,q,t,a)=\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\omega_3;\,q,t,a)$ for $q=t^{-2}$; the coefficient of proportionality is t^4 for $T(3,2)$.

The corresponding combinatorial transformation is as follows:

$\lambda_c =$  $= \lambda_b.$

The output coincides with (1.46) if the duality (1.44) is employed.

The following two examples deal with more involved w. Let us take $w^{(1)}=s_1s_2\cdots s_p$ and $w^{(2)}=s_p\cdots s_2s_1$ for $k=-\ell$ and the corresponding weights $c^{(1)}=\ell\omega_{p+1}$, $c^{(2)}=\ell p\,\omega_{p+1}$. Then one finds that

$$b^{(1)}=\ell(p+1)\omega_1\ \text{ and }\ b^{(2)}=\ell(p+1)\,\omega_p.$$

We apply here the general relation $\rho-w(\rho)=\sum_{\alpha\in R_+\cap w(R_-)}\alpha$ and formulas $\alpha_i=2\omega_i-\omega_{i-1}-\omega_{i+1}$, which hold in any A_n if $n>i$ ($\omega_0=0$). See below the combinatorial interpretation of this calculation.

Thus we obtain that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(c^{(i)};\,q,t{=}q^{-\ell},a)=q^\bullet\,\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b^{(i)};\,q,t{=}q^{-\ell},a)\ \text{ for }\ i=1,2. \tag{1.47}$$

When $\ell=1$, the Young diagrams for $\{\omega_{p+1},(p+1)\omega_1\}$ and those for $\{p\omega_{p+1},(p+1)\omega_p\}$ are transposed to each other. Therefore (1.47) follow from (1.44) for $\ell=1$; the relation $tq=1$ is obviously preserved by the duality transformation $t\leftrightarrow q^{-1}$.

Combining (1.47) for $\ell=1$ and $i=1$ with its restriction to A_n for $n=p+1$, where ω_n and ω_1 result in coinciding $\widetilde{JD}$–polynomials,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\omega_1;\,q,t=q^{-1},a=-t^{n+1})=q^\bullet\,\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(n\omega_1;\,q,t=q^{-1},a=-t^{n+1}).$$

Here we see some links to the so-called *colored differentials*; see e.g., [DGR, GS, FGS, GGS]. However our color exchange from (1.40) preserves the number of boxes of Young diagrams, in contrast to the colored differentials from [GGS] and other papers. Also, the differentials there do not seem mathematically rigorous (the differentials from [KhR1, KhR2, Ras] are) and it is surprising to us that the colored differentials are introduced only for symmetric and wedge powers.

Fat hooks. Generalizing, let us consider a *fat hook* corresponding to $c = v_1\omega_{u_1+u_2} + v_2\omega_{u_1}$ for $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $k = -\ell \in -\mathbb{Z}_+$ (can be fractional) subject to the following relations:

$$v_1 + v_2 \geq u_2\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \ni (u_1 + u_2)\ell \geq v_2. \tag{1.48}$$

We set $b = (v_1 + v_2 - u_2\ell)\omega_{u_1+u_2} + ((u_1 + u_2)\ell - v_2)\omega_{u_2}$ and claim that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(c;\, q, t{=}q^{-\ell}, a) = q^{\bullet}\, \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(b;\, q, t{=}q^{-\ell}, a) \text{ for any } \mathsf{r}, \mathsf{s}. \tag{1.49}$$

Combinatorially, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 are the number of lines and columns in the Young diagram for c. This diagram and the corresponding Young block-diagram for b are as follows:

$\lambda_c =$  , $\lambda_b =$  .

The permutation w transposes the two block-lines of the diagram λ_c, i.e. it moves the first u_1 lines of λ_c down and the last u_2 lines up (without changing their relative positions within the corresponding block-lines). The integrality condition for $k = \ell$ is $\gcd(u_1, u_2)\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. For instance, $\ell = 1/u$ is possible if $u_1 = u = u_2$; in this case, the inequalities from (1.48) become $v_1 + v_2 \geq 1$, $v_2 \leq 2$ and the pair v_1, v_2 will be transformed to $v_1 + v_2 - 1, 2 - v_2$.

Note that when $\ell = 1$ (i.e. $k = -1$) and $u_1 = v_2, u_2 = v_1$, the diagram λ_b is the transposition of λ_c. Then (1.49) follows from the duality.

Rectangles. This construction has the following application to the Young diagrams that are rectangles. Setting $v_1 = 0 = v_1 + v_2 - u_2\ell = v_2 - u_2\ell$, one has $c = v_2\omega_{u_1} = u_2\ell\omega_{u_1}$ and $b = u_1\ell\omega_{u_2}$. Thus the rectangles $\lambda_c = \{u_2\ell \times u_1\}$ and $\lambda_b = \{u_1\ell \times u_2\}$ satisfy (1.49). Here u_1 and u_2 are arbitrary positive, $\gcd(u_1, u_2)\ell$ must be integral. Note that one can set here $v_2 = 0 = v_1 + v_2 - u_2\ell = v_1 - u_2\ell$. The corresponding

weights become $c=u_2\ell\omega_{u_1+u_2}$ and $b=(u_1+u_2)\ell\omega_{u_2}$; thus we arrive at a particular case of the previous relation (use $u_1+u_2>u_2$). Finally:

$$q^{\bullet}\,\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(u\omega_v;\,q,q^{-u},a)=q^{\bullet}\,\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(uv\omega_1;\,q,q^{-u},a),\tag{1.50}$$
$$t^{\bullet}\,\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(u\omega_v;\,t^{-v},t,a)=t^{\bullet}\,\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\omega_{uv};\,t^{-v},t,a),$$

where the second formula is due to the duality (1.44). Actually it can be justified directly (similarly to the proof of the first formula).

For instance, $t^8\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(2\omega_2;\,t^{-2},t,a)\;=\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(\omega_4;\,t^{-2},t,a)$ for

$$\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(2\omega_2;\,q,t,a)\;=$$

$$1+\tfrac{a^4q^{10}}{t^2}+q^2t+q^3t+q^2t^2+q^3t^2+q^4t^2+q^4t^3+q^5t^3+q^4t^4+q^5t^4+q^6t^4+q^6t^5+q^7t^5+q^6t^6+q^7t^6+q^8t^8+a^3\big(q^9+q^{10}+\tfrac{q^7}{t^2}+\tfrac{q^8}{t^2}+\tfrac{q^7}{t}+\tfrac{q^8}{t}+q^9t+q^{10}t\big)$$
$$+a^2\big(q^5+q^6+2q^7+q^8+\tfrac{q^5}{t^2}+\tfrac{q^4}{t}+\tfrac{2q^5}{t}+\tfrac{q^6}{t}+q^6t+3q^7t+2q^8t+q^7t^2+q^8t^2+q^9t^2+q^8t^3+2q^9t^3+q^{10}t^3+q^9t^4\big)$$
$$+a\big(q^2+q^3+q^4+q^5+\tfrac{q^2}{t}+\tfrac{q^3}{t}+2q^4t+3q^5t+q^6t+q^4t^2+2q^5t^2+2q^6t^2+q^7t^2+2q^6t^3+3q^7t^3+q^8t^3+q^6t^4+2q^7t^4+q^8t^4+q^8t^5+q^9t^5+q^8t^6+q^9t^6\big)\,,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(\omega_4;\,q,t,a)\;=$$

$$1+\tfrac{a^4q^4}{t^6}+qt+qt^2+qt^3+qt^4+q^2t^4+q^2t^5+2q^2t^6+q^2t^7+q^2t^8+q^3t^9+q^3t^{10}+q^3t^{11}+q^3t^{12}+q^4t^{16}+a^3\big(q^4+\tfrac{q^3}{t^6}+\tfrac{q^3}{t^5}+\tfrac{q^3}{t^4}+\tfrac{q^3}{t^3}+\tfrac{q^4}{t^2}+\tfrac{q^4}{t}+q^4t\big)$$
$$+a^2\big(3q^3+\tfrac{q^2}{t^5}+\tfrac{q^2}{t^4}+\tfrac{2q^2}{t^3}+\tfrac{q^2}{t^2}+\tfrac{q^3}{t^2}+\tfrac{q^2}{t}+\tfrac{2q^3}{t}+3q^3t+2q^3t^2+q^3t^3+q^4t^3+q^4t^4+2q^4t^5+q^4t^6+q^4t^7\big)$$
$$+a\big(q+2q^2+\tfrac{q}{t^3}+\tfrac{q}{t^2}+\tfrac{q}{t}+\tfrac{q^2}{t}+3q^2t+3q^2t^2+2q^2t^3+q^3t^3+q^2t^4+2q^3t^4+3q^3t^5+3q^3t^6+2q^3t^7+q^3t^8+q^4t^9+q^4t^{10}+q^4t^{11}+q^4t^{12}\big)\,.$$

Color exchange as a recovery tool. The positivity conjecture for rectangles (the last from [Ch4] in type A that remains open) states that the coefficients of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(u\omega_v;\,q,t,a)$ are all positive. In spite of the color-exchange connection, the number of monomials in terms of q,t,a (ignoring their numerical coefficients) is different for $\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(2\omega_2;\,q,t,a)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(\omega_4;\,q,t,a)$ (66 and 60). Both result in 35 different monomials after the substitution $q=t^{-2}$ (a significant reduction).

We claim that the positivity of $\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(2\omega_2;\,q,t,a)$, its self-duality, knowing the above specialization at $q=t^{-2}$ and the evaluation at $q=1$ uniquely determine this polynomial (up to a multiplier $q^{\bullet}t^{\bullet}$).

Let us provide some details. Assuming that we know the $q,t-$monomials that occur in $\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(2\omega_2;\,q,t,a)$, the duality restricts the 66-dimensional space of the corresponding undetermined coefficients

to the space V of dimension 36. Decomposing such V with respect to a^i, one has $V = \oplus_{i=0}^4 V_i$, where the corresponding dimensions are $\{\dim_0 = 9, 12, 10, 4, \dim_4 = 1\}$. Then the dimensions of the kernels of the specialization map $q = t^{-2}$ are $\{1, 3, 2, 0, 0\}$. The evaluation $q = 1$ has the corresponding kernels of dimensions $\{2, 4, 3, 1, 0\}$. There will be no common kernel if these 2 maps are combined.

Here we do not use the positivity, but assume that the monomials are those from the actual $\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(2\omega_2; q, t, a)$. The positivity gives that any other set of coefficients will be obtained from the actual one when at least 8 monomials (for one of V_i) are replaced by some other 8 (with positive coefficients) and the corresponding difference belongs to the ideal $\big((1-q)(1-t)(t-q^{-2})(q-t^{-2})\big)$. This appeared impossible.

Moreover, one can involve here the reduction $t=q$ (which gives essentially the HOMFLYPT polynomial of $T(3,2)$ for the weight $2\omega_2$ and can be assumed known), as well as $\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(2\omega_2; q, t, a=-t^2)=1$. The resulting system of (liner) equations for the coefficients of $\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(2\omega_2; q, t, a)$ will then become significantly overdetermined.

However the "next" polynomial $\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(2\omega_3; q, t, a)$ can not be recovered from the color-exchange relations (1.50) combined with the evaluations at $t = 1$ and $q = 1$; here $t = q^{-2}$ for the reduction to $6\omega_1$ and $q = t^{-3}$ for that to ω_6. As above, we do not use the positivity, but assume that the monomials can be only those actually present in $\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(2\omega_3; q, t, a)$ (with undetermined coefficients).

2. DAHA of type $C^\vee C_1$

2.1. **Main definitions.** Double affine Hecke algebra of type $C^\vee C_1$, denoted by $\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}_{q,u,v}$ in this paper, is generated by U_1, U_0, V_1, V_0 subject to the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(U_i - u_i^{1/2})(U_i + u_i^{-1/2}) = 0, \;\; (V_i - v_i^{1/2})(V_i + v_i^{-1/2}) = 0,\\
&q^{1/4} V_1 V_0 U_0 U_1 = 1, \;\; i = 0, 1 \text{ here and below; we set :}\\
&\mathbb{X} \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} V_1^{-1} U_1^{-1} = q^{1/4} V_0 U_0, \;\; \mathbb{Y} \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} U_0 U_1 = q^{-1/4} V_0^{-1} V_1^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.1}
$$

The natural definition ring is $\mathbb{Z}_{q,u,v} \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/4}, u_i^{\pm 1/2}, v_i^{\pm 1/2}]$, though we will mainly use its field of fractions $\mathbb{Q}_{q,u,v}$ when the Askey-Wilson polynomials are needed. Note that $V_1, \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}$ obviously generate $\mathscr{H}_{q,u,v}$. Here and below we closely follow [NS], modifying the generators and

parameters from 2.22 there as follows:

$$(2.2)\quad V_i\mapsto U_i^{-1},\ V_i^\vee\mapsto V_i^{-1}(i=0,1),\ q_{\mathsf{ns}}\mapsto q^{-\frac{1}{2}},\ k_i\mapsto u_i^{-\frac{1}{2}},\ u_i\mapsto v_i^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$
$$X\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}V_1^{-1}(V_1^\vee)^{-1}=q_{\mathsf{ns}}^{1/2}\,V_0V_0^\vee\mapsto\ \mathbb{X}^{-1}=U_1V_1=q^{-1/4}\,U_0^{-1}V_0^{-1},$$
$$Y\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}V_1V_0=q_{\mathsf{ns}}^{-1/2}(V_1^\vee)^{-1}(V_0^\vee)^{-1}\mapsto\mathbb{Y}^{-1}=U_1^{-1}U_0^{-1}=q^{1/4}V_1V_0.$$

These arrows mean that V_i from [NS] is our U_i^{-1}, their q_{ns} is our $q^{-1/2}$, their X is our $\mathbb{X}$ and so on. The relation $q_{\mathsf{ns}}^{1/2}V_1^\vee V_1V_0V_0^\vee=1$ becomes that from (2.1) in our notations.

Such changes are convenient to establish the relations to DAHA of type A_1 and to the superpolynomials for $T(2\mathsf{p}+1,2)$ (a surprising application of the $C^\vee C_1$-theory). A convenient way of obtaining our relations and formulas from their ones (or those from [Ob]) is simply by transposing all terms $(AB\cdots C\mapsto C\cdots BA)$ followed by the substitutions $V\mapsto U, V^\vee\mapsto V, q_{\mathsf{ns}}\mapsto q^{1/2}, X\mapsto\mathbb{X}, Y\mapsto\mathbb{Y}$.

Automorphisms. We redefine the automorphisms σ,τ,η from [Ob], Proposition 1.3 as follows: $\sigma\mapsto\sigma^{-1}$, $\tau\mapsto\tau_+^{-1}$; η remains unchanged (this is an extension of the Kazhdan-Lusztig involution).

In full detail, the following maps can be uniquely extended to automorphisms of the whole $\mathscr{H}_{q,u,v}$:

$$\tau_+: U_0\mapsto V_0=q^{-1/4}\mathbb{X}U_0^{-1},\ V_0\mapsto V_0U_0^{-1}V_0^{-1},\ U_1\mapsto U_1,$$
$$(2.3)\quad V_1\mapsto V_1,\ \mathbb{X}\mapsto\mathbb{X},\ \mathbb{Y}\mapsto V_0U_1=q^{-1/4}\,V_1^{-1}\mathbb{Y}^{-1}U_1,\ u_0\leftrightarrow v_0,$$
$$\tau_-: V_1\mapsto V_0=q^{-1/4}V_1^{-1}\mathbb{Y}^{-1},\ V_0\mapsto V_0^{-1}V_1V_0,\ U_1\mapsto U_1,$$
$$(2.4)\quad U_0\mapsto U_0,\ \mathbb{Y}\mapsto\mathbb{Y},\ \mathbb{X}\mapsto V_0^{-1}U_1^{-1}=q^{1/4}U_0\mathbb{X}^{-1}U_1^{-1},\ v_0\leftrightarrow v_1,$$
$$\eta: U_1\mapsto U_1^{-1},\ U_0\mapsto U_0^{-1},\ V_1\mapsto U_1V_1^{-1}U_1^{-1},\ V_0\mapsto U_0^{-1}V_0^{-1}U_0,$$
$$(2.5)\quad \mathbb{X}\mapsto\mathbb{X}^{-1},\ \mathbb{Y}\mapsto U_1\mathbb{Y}^{-1}U_1^{-1},\ u_i\mapsto u_i^{-1},q\mapsto q^{-1},v_i\mapsto v_i^{-1}.$$

The parameter q and respectively u_1,v_1 and u_0,u_1 remain unchanged under the action of $\tau_\pm$. We set $\sigma\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}\tau_+\tau_-^{-1}\tau_+=\tau_-^{-1}\tau_+\tau_-^{-1}$; this automorphism transposes t_0 and v_1 and corresponds to μ^{-1} from [NS], 8.5. One has:

$$(2.6)\quad \sigma: U_0\mapsto V_1,\ V_0\mapsto V_1V_0V_1^{-1},\ V_1\mapsto U_1^{-1}U_0U_1,\ U_1\mapsto U_1,$$
$$\mathbb{X}\mapsto\mathbb{Y}^{-1},\ \mathbb{Y}\mapsto U_1^{-1}\mathbb{X}^{-1}U_1,\ u_0\leftrightarrow v_1,u_1\mapsto u_1,v_0\mapsto v_0.$$

In the notations from [NS], formulas (2.3)-(2.5) are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_+ &: V_0 \mapsto V_0^\vee = q_{\mathsf{ns}}^{-1/2} V_0^{-1} X,\ V_0^\vee \mapsto (V_0^\vee)^{-1} V_0 V_0^\vee,\ V_1 \mapsto V_1,\\
&\quad V_1^\vee \mapsto V_1^\vee,\ X \mapsto X,\ Y \mapsto V_1 V_0^\vee = q_{\mathsf{ns}}^{-1/2} V_1 Y^{-1} (V_1^\vee)^{-1},\ k_0 \leftrightarrow u_0,\\
\tau_- &: V_1^\vee \mapsto V_0^\vee = q_{\mathsf{ns}}^{-1/2} V_0^{-1} X,\ V_0^\vee \mapsto V_0^\vee V_1^\vee (V_0^\vee)^{-1},\ V_1 \mapsto V_1,\\
&\quad V_0 \mapsto V_0,\ Y \mapsto Y,\ X \mapsto V_1^{-1} (V_0^\vee)^{-1} = q_{\mathsf{ns}}^{1/2} V_1^{-1} X^{-1} V_0,\ u_0 \leftrightarrow u_1,\\
\eta &: V_1 \mapsto V_1^{-1},\ V_0 \mapsto V_0^{-1},\ V_1^\vee \mapsto V_1^{-1} (V_1^\vee)^{-1} V_1,\ V_0^\vee \mapsto V_0 (V_0^\vee)^{-1} V_0^{-1},\\
&\quad X \mapsto X^{-1},\ Y \mapsto V_1^{-1} Y^{-1} V_1,\ k_i, u_i, q \mapsto k_i^{-1}, u_i^{-1}, q^{-1}\,(i = 1, 2).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we will need the *anti-involution* $\nu = \varphi_{\mathsf{ns}}$ from 8.5 in [NS], which corresponds to our φ:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi : U_0 \leftrightarrow V_1,\ U_1 \mapsto U_1,\ V_0 \mapsto V_0,\ \mathbb{X} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{Y}^{-1},\ u_0 \leftrightarrow v_1,\\
&\varphi_{\mathsf{ns}} : V_0 \leftrightarrow V_1^\vee,\ V_1 \mapsto V_1,\ V_0^\vee \mapsto V_0^\vee,\ X \leftrightarrow Y^{-1},\ k_0 \leftrightarrow u_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

All relations of the reduced theory in Section 1.3 holds for $\tau_\pm, \sigma, \varphi$. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_\mp = \varphi \tau_\pm \varphi = \sigma \tau_\pm^{-1} \sigma^{-1},\ \eta \tau_\pm \eta = \tau_\pm^{-1},\ \varphi \sigma \varphi = \sigma^{-1} = \eta \sigma \eta,\\
&\varphi \eta \varphi = \eta \sigma^{-2} = \sigma^2 \eta,\ \ \sigma^2(H) = U_1^{-1}(H) U_1 \ \text{ for } \ H \in \mathscr{H}_{q,t,u}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Also, due to the group nature of the definition of $\mathscr{H}_{q,u,v}$, we have the *inversion anti-involution* $\star$, sending all generators of $\mathscr{H}_{q,u,v}$ and parameters q, u_i, v_i (and their products) to the corresponding inversions.

Finally, let us define the *sign-automorphisms* of $\mathscr{H}_{q,t,u}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varsigma_x &: V_0 \mapsto -V_0, v_0^{1/2} \mapsto -v_0^{1/2}, V_1 \mapsto -V_1, v_1^{1/2} \mapsto -v_1^{1/2},\\
\varsigma_y &: U_0 \mapsto -U_0, u_0^{1/2} \mapsto -u_0^{1/2}, V_0 \mapsto -V_0, v_0^{1/2} \mapsto -v_0^{1/2},\\
\varsigma_* &: U_i \mapsto -U_i, u_i^{1/2} \mapsto -u_i^{1/2}, V_i \mapsto -V_i, v_i^{1/2} \mapsto -v_i^{1/2},\\
\varsigma_q &: U_1 \mapsto -U_1, u_1^{1/2} \mapsto -u_1^{1/2}, q^{1/4} \mapsto -q^{1/4},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where the remaining generators and parameters are unchanged under the action of the corresponding ς.

The group $GL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$ fixes ς_q, ς_* and acts in the group $\mathbb{F}_2^2$ generated by ς_x, ς_y through its projection ϖ onto $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. One has:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varsigma_x : \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} \mapsto -\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y},\ \varsigma_y : \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \mathbb{X}, -\mathbb{Y},\\
&\varsigma_q : \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} \mapsto -\mathbb{X}, -\mathbb{Y},\ \varsigma_* : \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

2.2. **Polynomial representation.** The following presentation of the algebra $\mathscr{H}_{q,u,v}$ by Demazure-Lusztig operators was found by Noumi for $C^\vee C_n$; see also [Sa], Section 2.3. Using the notations from [NS],

$$\widehat{V}_i = k_i s_i + \frac{(k_i - k_i^{-1}) + (u_i - u_i^{-1})X_i}{1 - X_i^2}(1 - s_i) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1,$$
$$X_1 = X,\ X_0 = q^{\frac{1}{2}}/X,\ s_1(X^m) = X^{-m},\ s_0(X^m) = q^m X^{-m},$$
$$\widehat{V}_i^{-1} = k_i^{-1} s_i + \frac{(k_i - k_i^{-1})X_i^2 + (u_i - u_i^{-1})X_i}{1 - X_i^2}\,(1 - s_i),$$
$$\widehat{V}_1^\vee(f) = \widehat{V}_i^{-1}(f)/X,\ \widehat{V}_0^\vee(f) = \widehat{V}_0^{-1}(f/X_0) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{V}_0^{-1}(Xf),$$
$$(\widehat{V}_1^\vee)^{-1}(f) = \widehat{V}_1(Xf),\ (\widehat{V}_0^\vee)^{-1}(f) = X_0\widehat{V}_0(f) = q^{\frac{1}{2}}X^{-1}\widehat{V}_0(f).$$

In our notations,

$$\widehat{U}_i = u_i^{1/2} s_i + \frac{(u_i^{1/2} - u_i^{-1/2}) + (v_i^{1/2} - v_i^{-1/2})\mathbb{X}_i}{1 - \mathbb{X}_i^2}(1 - s_i), \tag{2.11}$$
$$\mathbb{X}_1 = \mathbb{X},\ \mathbb{X}_0 = q^{\frac{1}{4}}/\mathbb{X},\ s_1(\mathbb{X}^m) = \mathbb{X}^{-m},\ s_0(\mathbb{X}^m) = q^{\frac{m}{2}}\mathbb{X}^{-m},$$
$$\widehat{U}_i^{-1} = u_i^{-1/2} s_i + \frac{(u_i^{1/2} - u_i^{-1/2})\mathbb{X}_i^2 + (v_i^{1/2} - v_i^{-1/2})\mathbb{X}_i}{1 - \mathbb{X}_i^2}(1 - s_i),$$
$$\widehat{V}_1(f) = \widehat{U}_1^{-1}(f/\mathbb{X}),\ \widehat{V}_0(f) = \widehat{U}_0^{-1}(f)/\mathbb{X}_0 = q^{-\frac{1}{4}}\mathbb{X}\widehat{U}_0^{-1}(f), \tag{2.12}$$
$$\widehat{V}_1^{-1}(f) = \mathbb{X}\widehat{U}_1(f),\ \widehat{V}_0^{-1}(f) = \widehat{U}_0(\mathbb{X}_0\, f) = q^{\frac{1}{4}}\,\widehat{U}_0(f/\mathbb{X}).$$

Here $f \in \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{X}} \overset{\text{def}}{=\!=} \mathbb{Z}_{q,u,v}[\mathbb{X}^{\pm 1}]$, which we will call the *polynomial representation* ; it is supplied with the action $\mathscr{H}_{q,u,v} \ni H \mapsto \widehat{H}$ defined via (2.11),(2.12). Thus (following Noumi) we claim that the relations from (2.1) are satisfied for $\{\widehat{U}_i, \widehat{V}_i\}$. The operator $\mathbb{X}$ acts as the multiplication by $\mathbb{X}$. Indeed, (2.12) gives that $\widehat{\mathbb{X}}(f) = \widehat{V}_1^{-1}\widehat{U}_1^{-1}(f) = \mathbb{X}\widehat{U}_1\big(\widehat{U}_1(f)\big) = f$.

The existence of this representations provides the *PBW Theorem*, which states that the following decomposition is unique:

$$H = \sum_{n,\epsilon,m} C_{n,\epsilon,m}\mathbb{X}^n V_1^\epsilon \mathbb{Y}^m \ \text{ for any } H \in \mathscr{H}_{q,u,v},\ n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \epsilon = 0, 1. \tag{2.13}$$

Using this theorem (which can be proved directly), $\mathcal{V}$ is the $\mathscr{H}_{q,u,v}$–module induced from the one-dimensional *evaluation character* χ on

the subalgebra $\mathscr{U}$ generated by U_0, U_1:

$$\mathcal{V}=\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathscr{U}}^{\mathscr{H}}(\chi),\ \chi:\ U_1\mapsto u_1^{1/2}, U_0\mapsto u_0^{1/2}, \mathbb{Y}=U_0U_1\mapsto (u_0u_1)^{1/2}. \tag{2.14}$$

This readily gives that τ_- sends $\mathcal{V}$ to its image under $v_0 \leftrightarrow v_1$.

The *difference Dunkl operator* for $C^\vee C_1$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{Y}} = \widehat{U}_0\,\widehat{U}_1$. The *non-symmetric Askey-Wilson* polynomials $\mathcal{E}_n\,(n\in\mathbb{Z})$ are defined from the relations

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Y}}(\mathcal{E}_n) \ =\ (u_0u_1)^{-\mathrm{sgn}(n)/2}q^{-n/2}\,\mathcal{E}_n \ \text{ for } \ n\in\mathbb{Z},\ \text{ where :} \tag{2.15}$$
$$\mathcal{E}_n=\mathbb{X}^n+C^n_{-n}\mathbb{X}^{-n}+\sum_{|m|<|n|}C^n_m\mathbb{X}^m,\ C^n_{-n}=0\ \text{ for }\ n>0.$$

Here $\mathrm{sgn}(n\le 0)=-1$ and $+1$ otherwise, i.e. 0 is treated as negative. Note the formula

$$\tau_-(\mathcal{E}_n) \ =\ q^{-n^2/4}(u_1u_0)^{-|n|/2}\,\mathcal{E}_n\,|_{v_0\leftrightarrow v_1} \quad \text{for}\ \ n\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.16}$$

The *symmetric Askey-Wilson polynomials* $\mathcal{P}_n\,(n\in\mathbb{Z}_+)$ from [AW] can be defined from the relation

$$(\widehat{\mathbb{Y}}+\widehat{\mathbb{Y}}^{-1})(\mathcal{P}_n)=\big((u_0u_1)^{\frac12}q^{\frac{n}{2}}+(u_0u_1)^{-\frac12}q^{-\frac{n}{2}}\big)\mathcal{P}_n, \tag{2.17}$$
$$\text{where}\ \ \mathcal{P}_n\ =\ \mathbb{X}^n+\mathbb{X}^{-n}+\sum_{0\le m<n}C_m(\mathbb{X}^m+\mathbb{X}^{-m}).$$

Also, $\mathcal{P}_n$ are the u_1–symmetrizations of $\mathcal{E}_n$, i.e. they can be defined using relation (1.24) for A_1 with T_1, t_1 there replaced by U_1, u_1. They are formally real; namely, $\mathcal{P}_n^\star=\mathcal{P}_n$, where $\star$ sends $\mathbb{X}\mapsto\mathbb{X}^{-1}$ and all parameters to their reciprocals.

One has:

$$\mathcal{E}_0=1,\ \mathcal{E}_1=\mathbb{X}+\frac{(q^{1/2}u_0/v_0)^{1/2}(1-v_0)+u_0(qu_1/v_1)^{1/2}(1-v_1)}{1-q^{1/2}u_0u_1}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{-1}=\mathbb{X}^{-1}+\frac{(1-u_1)+q^{1/2}u_1(1-u_0)}{1-q^{1/2}u_0u_1}\,\mathbb{X} \tag{2.19}$$
$$+\frac{(q^{1/2}u_0/v_0)^{\frac12}(1+q^{1/2}u_1)(1-v_0)+(u_1/v_1)^{\frac12}(1+q^{1/2}u_0)(1-v_1)}{1-q^{1/2}u_0u_1}.$$

The corresponding symmetric Askey-Wilson polynomial is

$$\mathcal{P}_1=\mathcal{P}_1=(1+u_1^{1/2}V_1)(\mathcal{E}_1)\ =\ \mathbb{X}+\mathbb{X}^{-1} \tag{2.20}$$
$$+\frac{(q^{1/2}u_0/v_0)^{\frac12}(1+u_1)(1-v_0)+(u_1/v_1)^{\frac12}(1+q^{1/2}u_0)(1-v_1)}{1-q^{1/2}u_0u_1}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}_1$ is not X as it is for A_1 and $\mathcal{E}_n$ can have the terms X^m in odd and even degrees. However, the following symmetries for the sign-automorphisms from (2.9) and (2.10) hold:

$$\varsigma_x(\mathcal{E}_n)=(-1)^n\mathcal{E}_n=\varsigma_q(\mathcal{E}_n),\ \varsigma_y(\mathcal{E}_n)=\mathcal{E}_n=\varsigma_q(\mathcal{E}_n)\ \text{ for any }\ n\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.21}$$

We treat here $\mathcal{E}_n(\mathbb{X})$ as elements of $\mathscr{H}_{q,u,v}$. These relations control the appearance of square roots of the parameters in $\mathcal{E}_n$; the coefficients of E_n are in terms of q,t in the case of A_1, i.e. free of square roots.

The $\mathcal{E}$–polynomials can be obtained using the intertwining operators for $\mathscr{H}_{q,u,v}$ from [Sa, NS]:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{S}_1\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}U_1^{-1}\mathbb{Y}^{-1}-\mathbb{Y}^{-1}U_1^{-1},\ \mathbf{S}_0\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}\mathbb{Y}^{-1}U_0^{-1}-U_0^{-1}\mathbb{Y}^{-1},\\&\text{intertwining }\ \mathbb{Y}:\mathbf{S}_1\mathbb{Y}\ =\ \mathbb{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_1,\ \ q^{-1}\mathbf{S}_0\mathbb{Y}\ =\ \mathbb{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

They result in the following recurrence relations for $m=0,1,2\dots$:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_0(\mathcal{E}_{-m})=\frac{q^{\frac{2m+1}{2}}u_0u_1-1}{q^{\frac{m}{2}}u_0^{1/2}}\mathcal{E}_{m+1},\ \ \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_1(\mathcal{E}_{m+1})=\frac{q^{\frac{2m+2}{2}}u_0u_1-1}{q^{\frac{m+1}{2}}u_0^{1/2}u_1}\mathcal{E}_{-m-1}. \tag{2.23}$$

See [NS] for explicit formulas for the $\mathcal{E}$–polynomials, their norms and evaluations. We will need below the evaluation formulas (recalculated to our notations):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{m+1}\big((u_1v_1)^{-\frac12}\big)&=\frac{(u_1v_0v_1)^{-(m+1)/2}(1+q^{\frac12}u_1)\cdots(1+q^{\frac{m}{2}}u_1)}{(1-q^{\frac{m+1}{2}}u_0u_1)\cdots(1-q^{\frac{2m+1}{2}}u_0u_1)}\\&\times\prod_{i=0}^m(v_0^{1/2}+q^{\frac{2i+1}{4}}u_0^{1/2}u_1^{1/2}v_1^{1/2})\,(1-q^{\frac{2i+1}{4}}u_0^{1/2}u_1^{1/2}v_0^{1/2}v_1^{1/2}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{-m-1}\big((u_1v_1)^{-\frac12}\big)&=\frac{(u_1v_0v_1)^{-(m+1)/2}(1+q^{\frac12}u_1)\cdots(1+q^{\frac{m+1}{2}}u_1)}{(1-q^{\frac{m+2}{2}}u_0u_1)\cdots(1-q^{\frac{2m+2}{2}}u_0u_1)}\\&\times\prod_{i=0}^m(v_0^{1/2}+q^{\frac{2i+1}{4}}u_0^{1/2}u_1^{1/2}v_1^{1/2})\,(1-q^{\frac{2i+1}{4}}u_0^{1/2}u_1^{1/2}v_0^{1/2}v_1^{1/2}).\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Also, $\mathcal{P}_{m+1}\big((u_1v_1)^{\pm\frac12}\big)=(1+u_1)\mathcal{E}_{m+1}\big((u_1v_1)^{-\frac12}\big)$ for $m\in\mathbb{Z}_+$.

Concerning the orthogonality relations and other formulas for the $\mathcal{E}$–polynomials, we refer to [NS], where $X,q_{\mathsf{ns}},k_0,k_1,u_0,u_1$ must be replaced by $\mathbb{X}^{-1},q^{-1/2},u_0^{-1/2},u_1^{-1/2},\ v_0^{-1/2},v_1^{-1/2}$ to match our setting. See [Ko, Mac, Sa, Sto] for general theory.

2.3. **Relations to A_1.** Let us first reduce Definition 1.1 to the case of DAHA of type A_1, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{q,t}^{A_1}$. For A_1, let $\alpha = \alpha_1$, $s = s_1$ and $\omega = \omega_1$ be the fundamental weight; then $\alpha = 2\omega$ and $\rho = \omega$. The extended affine Weyl group $\widehat{W} =< s, \omega >$ in the A_1–case is a free group generated by the involutions s and $\pi \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \omega s$.

The generators of $\mathcal{H}_{q,t}^{A_1}$ are

$$Y = Y_{\omega_1} = \pi T,\ \ T = T_1,\ \ X = X_{\omega_1}$$

subject to the quadratic relation $(T - t^{1/2})(T + t^{-1/2}) = 0$ and the cross-relations:

$$TXT = X^{-1},\ T^{-1}YT^{-1} = Y^{-1},\ Y^{-1}X^{-1}YXT^2q^{1/2} = 1. \tag{2.26}$$

Using $\pi = YT^{-1}$, the second relation becomes $\pi^2 = 1$. This algebra is defined over

$$\mathbb{Z}_{q;t} \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}, t^{\pm 1/2}].$$

The following maps can be extended to automorphisms of $\mathcal{H}_{q,t}^{A_1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_+(X)=X,\ \tau_+(T)=T,\ \tau_+(Y)=q^{-1/4}XY,\ \tau_+(\pi)=q^{-1/4}X\pi, \\ &\tau_-(Y) = Y,\ \ \tau_-(T) = T,\ \ \tau_-(X) = q^{1/4}YX,\ \ \tau_-(\pi) = \pi, \\ &\sigma(X) = Y^{-1},\ \ \sigma(T) = T,\ \ \sigma(Y) = XT^2,\ \ \sigma(\pi) = XT, \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

$$\eta(X)=X^{-1},\ \eta(Y)=TY^{-1}T^{-1},\ \eta(T)=T^{-1},\ q,t\mapsto q^{-1},t^{-1}. \tag{2.28}$$

Recall that $\sigma = \tau_+\tau_-^{-1}\tau_+ = \tau_-^{-1}\tau_+\tau_-^{-1}$ and we add $q^{\pm 1/4}$ to the ring of definition of $\mathcal{H}_{q,t}^{A_1}$.

We also have two anti-involutions:

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(X) = Y^{-1},\ \varphi(Y) = X^{-1},\ \varphi(T) = T,\ q,t\mapsto q,t, \\ &X^\star = X^{-1},\ Y^\star = Y^{-1}, T^\star = T^{-1},\ \ q,t\mapsto q^{-1},t^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Theorem 2.1. *(i) Let us send $u_1^{1/2}\mapsto t^{1/2}$ and make $u_0^{1/2}=1=v_0^{1/2}=v_1^{1/2}$. Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} &U_1 \mapsto T,\, U_0 \mapsto \pi = YT^{-1}, V_0 \mapsto \widetilde{\pi} \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} q^{1/4}YT^{-1}X^{-1}, V_1 \mapsto \overline{\pi} \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} XT, \\ &\mathbb{X}= V_1^{-1}U_1^{-1} \mapsto (XT)T^{-1} = X,\ \mathbb{Y}= U_0U_1 \mapsto (YT^{-1})T = Y \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

can be extended to a homomorphism of algebras $\xi : \mathscr{H}_{q,u,v} \to \mathcal{H}_{q,t}^{A_1}$ (with the same q in both), compatible with $\tau_\pm, \eta, \varphi$ and the inversion $\star$.

The nonsymmetric Askey-Wilson polynomials $\mathcal{E}_n(\mathbb{X})$ become $E_n(X)$ for A_1 for $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, where the evaluation formulas from (2.24),(2.25) become those in (1.23) for A_1.

(ii) Let us make now $u_1^{1/2}=t^{1/2}=u_0^{1/2}$ and consider $\mathscr{H}_{q^2,u,v}$ (i.e. replace $q^{1/4}$ by $q^{1/2}$ in its definition). Then

$$U_1\mapsto T,\ U_0\mapsto T_0\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}Y^2T^{-1}, V_0\mapsto\widetilde{\pi}, V_1\mapsto\breve{\pi}\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}q^{1/4}XTY, \tag{2.31}$$
$$\mathbb{X}=V_1^{-1}U_1^{-1}\mapsto q^{-1/4}Y^{-1}X=\tau_-^{-1}(X),\ \mathbb{Y}=U_0U_1\mapsto(Y^2T^{-1})T=Y^2$$

give a homomorphism $\zeta:\mathscr{H}_{q^2,u,v}\to\mathcal{H}\!\!\!\mathcal{H}_{q,t}^{A_1}$, which induces the following group homomorphism from the group $\Gamma_0^\wedge(2)\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}<\tau_+^2,\tau_-,\eta>\subset GL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$ acting in $\mathscr{H}_{q^2,u,v}$ to the group $GL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$ acting in $\mathcal{H}\!\!\!\mathcal{H}_{q,t}^{A_1}$:

$$\zeta:\ \tau_+^2\mapsto\tau_-^{-1}\tau_+\tau_-,\ \tau_-\mapsto\tau_-^2,\ \eta\mapsto\tau_-^{-1}\eta\tau_-. \tag{2.32}$$

(iii) The map ζ from (2.31) is compatible with the following map of the polynomial representations:

$$\mathscr{H}_{q^2,u,v}\circlearrowright\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{X}}\ni F(\mathbb{X})\overset{\zeta}{\longmapsto}\tau_-^{-1}\big(F(X)\big)(1)\in\mathcal{V}_X\circlearrowleft\mathcal{H}\!\!\!\mathcal{H}_{q,t}^{A_1}. \tag{2.33}$$

For instance, the polynomials $\mathcal{E}_n(\mathbb{X})$ upon the substitution

$$q^{1/4}\mapsto q^{1/2},\ \ u_0^{1/2},u_1^{1/2}\mapsto t^{1/2},\ \ v_0^{1/2},v_1^{1/2}\mapsto 1$$

coincide with $E_n(X)$ (of type A_1) for any n and, accordingly, the evaluation of $\mathcal{E}_n$ at $(u_1v_1)^{-1/2}$ becomes that for E_n at $t^{-1/2}$.

Proof. It is known that the substitution $u_1=1=v_0=v_1$ makes $\mathscr{H}_{q,u,v}$ the DAHA of type A_1 (claimed in Part (i)). However we need some details here. We rewrite the identity

$$(TY^{-1})(q^{1/4}YT^{-1}X^{-1})(XT)=q^{1/4}T=\pi\widetilde{\pi}\overline{\pi}$$

in $\mathcal{H}\!\!\!\mathcal{H}_{q,t}^{A_1}$ as follows: $q^{1/4}\overline{\pi}\widetilde{\pi}\pi T=1$. Then we use that $\pi,\widetilde{\pi},\overline{\pi}$ are involutions, and this readily gives the map ξ from (i). The comparison of the automorphisms involved is straightforward (and is known); DAHA of type $C^\vee C_1$ was actually introduced as a generalization of that for A_1. The compatibility of ξ with the definition of the polynomial representation (here and below) results from its interpretation as an induced module from (2.14).

Let us focus on (ii, iii). Now the identity in $\mathcal{H}\!\mathcal{U}_{q,t}^{A_1}$ we need is

$$T_0T = Y^2 = q^{-1/2}\widetilde{\pi}\breve{\pi} = q^{-1/2}(q^{1/4}YT^{-1}X^{-1})(q^{1/4}XTY),$$

which can be rewritten as $q^{1/2}\breve{\pi}\widetilde{\pi}T_0T = 1$ and readily the ζ–images of the generators of $\mathscr{H}_{q^2,u,v}$ from (2.31). This proves (iii).

Let us calculate the ζ–image of τ_+^2. Note that $\zeta(\tau_+)$ is not well defined since τ_+ transposes u_0 and v_0. One has :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_+(U_1)=U_1,\ \tau_+(\mathbb{X})=\mathbb{X},\ \tau_+^2(\mathbb{Y})=\tau_+(q^{-1/4}V_1\mathbb{Y}^{-1}U_1)=V_1^{-1}U_1^{-1}\mathbb{Y}V_1U_1,\\
&\zeta(\tau_+)\ :\ T\ \mapsto\ T,\ \ \tau_-^{-1}(X)\ \mapsto\ \tau_-^{-1}(X),\ \ Y^2\ \mapsto\ T^{-1}X^{-2}T\\
&=\zeta(V_1^{-1}U_1^{-1}\mathbb{Y}V_1U_1)=\breve{\pi}T^{-1}Y^2\breve{\pi}T=(\breve{\pi}Y^{-1})(YT^{-1}Y)(Y\breve{\pi})\\
&=(\breve{\pi}Y^{-1})T(Y\breve{\pi})T=(q^{\frac{1}{4}}XT)T(q^{-\frac{1}{4}}T^{-1}X^{-1})T=T^{-1}X^{-2}T.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_-^{-1}\tau_+\tau_-(Y^2)=\tau_-^{-1}\tau_+\tau_-^{-1}\big(\tau_-^2(Y^2)\big)=\sigma(Y^2)=T^{-1}X^{-2}T,\\
&\tau_-^{-1}\tau_+\tau_-\big(\tau_-^{-1}(X)\big)=\tau_-^{-1}(X),\ \tau_-^{-1}\tau_+\tau_-(T)=T.
\end{aligned}$$

This justifies that $\zeta(\tau_+)=\tau_-^{-1}\tau_+\tau_-$.

The ζ–image of τ_- is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_-(U_1)=U_1,\ \ \tau_-(\mathbb{Y})=\mathbb{Y},\ \ \zeta(\tau_-(\mathbb{X}))=\zeta(V_0^{-1}U_0^{-1})=\widetilde{\pi}TY^{-2}\\
&\zeta(\tau_-)\,:\ T\ \mapsto\ T,\ \ Y^2\ \mapsto\ Y^2,\ \ \zeta(X)=\tau_-^{-1}X\ \mapsto\ \zeta\big(\tau_-(X)\big)\\
&=\zeta(V_0^{-1}U_1^{-1})=\widetilde{\pi}T^{-1}=q^{1/4}YT^{-1}X^{-1}T^{-1}=q^{1/4}YX=\tau_-(X).
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\zeta(\tau_-)=\tau_-^2$.

Similarly, the relation $\zeta(\eta)=\tau_-^{-1}\eta\tau_-$ results from:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta(\eta(\mathbb{X}))\ =\ \zeta(X^{-1})=\tau_-^{-1}(X^{-1})\ =\ \tau_-^{-1}\eta\tau_-\big(\zeta(X)\big),\\
&\zeta(\eta(\mathbb{Y}^2))=\zeta(U_1\mathbb{Y}^{-2}U_1^{-1})=\eta(Y^2),\ \zeta(\eta(U_1))=\eta(T).
\end{aligned}$$

The fact that ζ is the group automorphism of $\Gamma_0^\wedge(2)$ follows from the calculation above (and irreducibility of $\mathcal{V}$). This can be readily checked directly, since any relation between τ_+^2 and τ_- holds for τ_+ and τ_-^2. The conjugation by $\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&2\end{pmatrix}$ proves this fact in the corresponding matrices, which is of course connected with our formula $\zeta(\mathbb{Y})=Y^2$.

2.4. **DAHA-Jones polynomials for $C^\vee C_1$.** We define the evaluation functional for $H \in \mathscr{H}_{q,u,v}$ as $\{H\}_{ev} \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \widehat{H}(1)((u_1v_1)^{-1/2})$ for the action of H in $\mathcal{V}$. Equivalently, one can use the PBW-decomposition from (2.13) and set

$$\Big\{ \sum_{n,\epsilon,m} C_{n,\epsilon,m} \mathbb{X}^n \, V_1^\epsilon \, \mathbb{Y}^m \Big\}_{ev} = \sum_{n,\epsilon,m} C_{n,\epsilon,m} (u_1v_1)^{-n} \, u_1^\epsilon \, (u_1u_0)^m. \tag{2.34}$$

By construction, $\{\varphi(H)\}_{ev} = \{H\}_{ev}$; recall that φ transposes u_0 and v_1 fixing u_1. Also, $\{\eta(H)\}_{ev} = \{H\}^\star$, where $\star$ is the inversion of all parameters (and the standard generators), and $\{\sigma^2(H)\}_{ev} = \{H\}$. Thus the connections between $\{\cdot\}_{ev}$ from (1.19) all hold for $C^\vee C_1$. However the DAHA-Jones polynomials must now carefully address the action of $GL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$ on the parameters $\{u_i, v_i\}$.

Given a pair (r,s) satisfying the condition $\gcd(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}) = 1$, we associate with it $\gamma = \gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}} \in \Gamma_0^\wedge(2) \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \mid \beta \in 2\mathbb{Z} \right\}$ such that its first column $(\alpha,\gamma)^{tr}$ is $(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s})^{tr}$. Here γ is unique modulo right multiplication by matrices from $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We lift such γ to $\widehat{\gamma} \in GL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$.

Recall that $\widehat{\gamma}$ acts on the parameters u_1, u_0, v_1, v_0; for the group $PSL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$ generated by $\tau_\pm$ this action is via $\varpi(\gamma)$. One has:

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_+(u_1,\underline{u_0},v_1,\underline{v_0}) = (u_1,v_0,v_1,u_0), \ \ \tau_-(u_1,u_0,\underline{v_1},\underline{v_0}) = (u_1,u_0,v_0,v_1), \\ &\eta(u_1,u_0,v_1,v_0) = (u_1^{-1},u_0^{-1},v_1^{-1},v_0^{-1}), \ \ \varphi(u_1,\underline{u_0},\underline{v_1},v_0) = (u_1,v_1,u_0,v_0), \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

and $\eta(q) = q^{-1}$ (the other three preserve q). These transformations are naturally extended to the fractional powers of the parameters.

Let $\varpi(\mathsf{s}) = 0, 1$ be the parity of s; we also denote γ modulo (2) by $\varpi(\gamma)$, which is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi(\mathsf{s}) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $\gamma = \gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}$. We also set $\delta(\gamma) = \det(\gamma) = \pm 1$.

We will sometimes use the notation $\bar{\Gamma}_0(2)$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_0^\wedge(2)$ for the intersection of $\Gamma_0(2)$ and $\Gamma_0^\wedge(2)$ with $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, considered as a subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and $PSL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$. Any admissible (r,s) can be lifted to $\gamma \in \bar{\Gamma}_0(2)$ and $\widehat{\gamma} \in \bar{\Gamma}_0^\wedge(2)$; then only $\tau_\pm$ will occur in $\widehat{\gamma}$ (η will not be involved).

The next theorem and its proof follow Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.2. *(i) Given an admissible pair* (r,s)*, i.e. satisfying the condition* $gcd(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s})=1$*, and* $m\in\mathbb{N}$*, we set*

$$\mathcal{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,u,v)\stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}\big\{\,\widehat{\gamma}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\mathcal{P}_m)\big\}_{ev}\,/\,\eta^{\delta(\gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}})}\tau_-^{\varpi(\mathsf{s})}\big(\big\{\mathcal{P}_m\big\}_{ev}\big),\tag{2.36}$$

where $\widehat{\gamma}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}$ *is a lift of* $\gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}\in\Gamma_0(2)$ *with the first column* $(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s})^{tr}$*. Then* $\mathcal{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,u,v)$ *does not depend on the particular choice of* $\gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}\in\Gamma_0(2)$ *and* $\widehat{\gamma}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}\in GL_2^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z})$ *and is a Laurent polynomial in terms of* $q^{1/4},u_i^{1/2},v_i^{1/2}$ *for* $i=0,1$*. One can switch to the* $\mathcal{E}-$*polynomials here:*

$$\mathcal{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,u,v)=\big\{\,\widehat{\gamma}(\mathcal{E}_{\pm m})\big\}_{ev}\,/\,\eta^{\delta(\gamma)}\tau_-^{\varpi(\mathsf{s})}\big(\big\{\mathcal{E}_{\pm m}\big\}_{ev}\big),\ \gamma=\gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}.\tag{2.37}$$

(ii) One has: $\mathcal{JD}_{1,\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,u,v)=(q^{\frac{m}{2}}u_1u_0)^{-\mathsf{s}m/2}$,

$$\mathcal{JD}_{\mathsf{r},-\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,u,v)=\big(\mathcal{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,u,v)\big)^{\star}\ \text{ for any }\ \mathsf{r},\mathsf{s},$$

$$\mathcal{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,u,v)\ =\ \mathcal{JD}_{\mathsf{s},\mathsf{r}}(m\,;\,q,u,v)\ \text{ if }\ \mathsf{rs}\ \text{ is even}.\tag{2.38}$$

Moreover, for any $\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s},v_1,v_0$ *and* $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$q^{(n+m)/2}\,u_0u_1=1\ \ \Rightarrow\ \ \mathcal{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,t)=\mathcal{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(n\,;\,q,t).\tag{2.39}$$

If $q=1$*, then* $\mathcal{E}_{-m}=\mathcal{P}_m$*,* $\mathcal{P}_{m+n}=\mathcal{P}_m\mathcal{P}_n$ *for any* $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ *and*

$$\mathcal{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q=1,u,v)=\mathcal{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(1\,;\,q=1,u,v)^m\ \text{ for any }\ \mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}.\tag{2.40}$$

(iii) Let us use the sign-automorphisms $\varsigma_x,\varsigma_y,\varsigma_*,\varsigma_q$ *of* $\mathscr{H}_{q,t,u}$ *from (2.9); the group* $GL_2^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z})$ *naturally acts in the group generated by these* ς*. Namely, it fixes* ς_*,ς_q *and acts on* ς_x,ς_y *via formulas (2.35). Then relations (2.10) and (2.21) give that*

$$\varsigma\{\gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\mathcal{E}_m)\}_{ev}/\{\gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\mathcal{E}_m)\}_{ev}=\varsigma(\mathcal{E}_m)/\mathcal{E}_m\ \text{ for any such }\ \varsigma,\ m\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since the normalization point in (2.36) depends on $\varpi(\mathsf{s})$*, the square roots of* $q^{1/2},u_i,v_i$ *can occur in* $\mathcal{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,u,v)$ *only as follows:*

$$\mathcal{JD}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,u,v)\ =\mathcal{A}+(q^{\frac{1}{2}}u_1u_0v_1v_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{B}\ \text{ for}$$
$$\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}\ \in\ (u_0u_1)^{\frac{m\,\mathsf{r}\,\mathsf{s}}{2}}\,\mathbb{Z}\,[\,q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}},u_1^{\pm1},u_0^{\pm1},v_1^{\pm1},v_0^{\pm1}\,].\tag{2.41}$$

□

Obviously, the broken symmetry $(\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s})\mapsto(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{r})$ and the fact that $\mathcal{JD}_{2\mathsf{p}+1,1}$ are nontrivial seem non-topological. However exactly the polynomials $\mathcal{JD}_{2\mathsf{p}+1,1}$ appear connected with the superpolynomials of knots $T(2\mathsf{p}+1,2)$, so they do have topological-geometric meaning.

2.5. **Reductions of parameters.** We will begin with the following case, which is a counterpart of the case $t=1$ for A_1 (where all DAHA-Jones polynomials are tilde-trivial).

Proposition 2.3. *Let us impose the relations* $v_0^{1/2}=1=v_1^{1/2}$ *and also* $u_1^{1/2}=q^{1/2}=u_0^{-1/2}$. *We assume that* r *is odd using the symmetry (2.38) if necessary; let* $\mathsf{r}=2\mathsf{p}+1$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{J}D_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,u_1=q,u_0=q^{-1},1,1)=q^{-m(\mathsf{r}\,\mathsf{s}\,m/2+\mathsf{p})}\,\frac{1+q^{m\,\mathsf{r}}}{1+q^m} \tag{2.42}$$

for any admissible r,s,m. □

Here we check that $\mathcal{J}D_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,u,v)$ depends only on p up to a monomial factor and then calculate $\mathcal{J}D$ for the pair $(2\mathsf{p}+1,1)$.

Theorem 2.1 results in the following reduction formulas.

Theorem 2.4. *(i) Let* $u_1^{1/2}\mapsto t^{1/2}$ *and* $u_0^{1/2}=1=v_0^{1/2}=v_1^{1/2}$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{J}D_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,u,v)=JD^{A_1}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,t)\overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=}JD^{A_1}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\omega_1\,;\,q,t). \tag{2.43}$$

(ii) We take now $u_1^{1/2}=t^{1/2}=u_0^{1/2}$, *and replace* $q^{1/4}$ *by* $q^{1/2}$. *We also assume that* r *is odd, which is possible due to (2.38). Then*

$$\mathcal{J}D_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q^2,t,t,1,1)=JD^{A_1}_{\mathsf{r},2\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,t) \tag{2.44}$$

for any admissible r,s,m. □

The reduction formulas here do not require the tilde-normalization (up to a monomial factor) of JD^{A_1}. This normalization is actually unnecessary in the A_1–case due to the formulas from [Ch4], Section 2.5 :

$$\widetilde{JD}^{A_1}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,t)=q^{m^2\mathsf{r}\mathsf{s}/4}t^{m(\mathsf{r}+\mathsf{s}-1)/2}JD^{A_1}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q,t).$$

Accordingly, we have the following leading term in the case of $C^\vee C_1$:

$$\mathcal{J}D_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\,;\,q^2,t,t,1,1)=q^{-m^2\frac{\mathsf{r}\mathsf{s}}{4}}t^{-m\frac{\mathsf{r}+\mathsf{s}-1}{2}}\sum_{i,j\geq 0}C_{ij}q^it^j\ \text{ for }\ C_{00}=1. \tag{2.45}$$

Let us provide the simplest example of the colored $\mathcal{J}D$–polynomial and its reductions to A_1 (apart from the pairs $(2\mathsf{p}+1,1)$ to be discussed next). Setting $A=(1-v_0)$, $B=(1-u_0)$, $\breve{q}=q^{-2}$,

$$\mathcal{J}D_{3,2}(2\,;\,q,u,v)\ =\ \mathcal{J}D_{2,3}(2\,;\,q,u,v)\ =$$

$$\frac{\breve{q}}{u_0^2u_1^4v_0v_1^2}\Big(AB\,\breve{q}^6u_1(\breve{q}u_0u_1v_0v_1)^{1/2}+B^2\,\breve{q}^4u_1^2v_0v_1-A^2\,\breve{q}^3u_0^2u_1^2v_1$$
$$+A\,(\breve{q}u_0u_1v_0v_1)^{1/2}\big(\breve{q}^7+\breve{q}^8+\breve{q}^5u_1-\breve{q}^4u_0u_1-2\breve{q}^5u_0u_1-\breve{q}^2u_0u_1^2$$
$$-2\breve{q}^3u_0u_1^2-\breve{q}^4u_0u_1^2+\breve{q}^2u_0^2u_1^2+\breve{q}^3u_0^2u_1^2+u_0^2u_1^3+\breve{q}u_0^2u_1^3$$
$$-\breve{q}^4(\breve{q}u_0u_1v_0v_1)^{1/2}+\breve{q}^3u_1v_1+\breve{q}^4u_1v_1-\breve{q}u_0u_1^2v_1-\breve{q}^2u_0u_1^2v_1\big)$$
$$+B\,u_1v_0\big(\breve{q}^8+\breve{q}^9+\breve{q}^6u_1-\breve{q}^6u_0u_1-\breve{q}^4u_0u_1^2+\breve{q}^6v_1+\breve{q}^5u_1v_1$$
$$+\breve{q}^2u_0u_1v_1-\breve{q}^2u_0u_1^2v_1-u_0^2u_1^2v_1\big)+\big(\breve{q}^{11}v_0-\breve{q}^5u_0u_1^2v_0-\breve{q}^7u_0u_1^2v_0$$
$$-\breve{q}^3u_0u_1^3v_0+\breve{q}^3u_0^2u_1^3v_0+\breve{q}u_0^2u_1^4v_0+\breve{q}^5u_0u_1v_1-\breve{q}^2u_0^2u_1^2v_1$$
$$+u_0^3u_1^3v_1+\breve{q}^7u_1v_0v_1+2\breve{q}^2u_0^2u_1^2v_0v_1-\breve{q}^3u_0u_1^3v_0v_1-2u_0^3u_1^3v_0v_1$$
$$-\breve{q}u_0^3u_1^3v_0v_1-\breve{q}^2u_0^2u_1^2v_0^2v_1+u_0^3u_1^3v_0^2v_1+\breve{q}^3u_1^2v_0v_1^2)\Big).$$

Let us consider the reduction $u_1=t$ and $u_0=1=v_0=v_1$. Then $A=0=B$ and the substitution $\breve{q}\mapsto q^{-1/2}$ readily results in

$$JD^{A_1}_{3,2}(2\,;\,q,t)=\frac{q^{-6}}{t^4}\big(1-q^3t^2-q^2t^2+q^5t^4+q^3t+q^2t-q^4t^3-q^5t^3+q^4t^2\big).$$

Making now $u_1=t=u_0$, $v_1=1=v_0$ and $\breve{q}\mapsto q^{-1}$, we obtain

$$JD^{A_1}_{4,3}(2\,;\,q,t)=\frac{q^{-12}}{t^6}\big(1+q^2t+q^3t+q^4t+q^5t-q^2t^2-q^3t^2+2q^6t^2$$
$$+q^7t^2+q^8t^2-q^4t^3-2q^5t^3-2q^6t^3-2q^7t^3+q^9t^3+q^5t^4-2q^8t^4$$
$$-2q^9t^4+q^7t^5+q^8t^5+q^9t^5-q^{11}t^5+q^{11}t^6\big).$$

2.6. **Superpolynomials for $T(2r+1,2)$.** Applying the previous theory to torus knots $T(2p+1,2)$, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{J}D_{2p+1,1}(m;\,q^2,u_1=t,u_0=t,1,1)=JD^{A_1}_{2p+1,2}(m;\,q,t)\quad\text{and}\tag{2.46}$$
$$\mathcal{J}D_{2p+1,1}(m;\,q^2,u_1=t,u_0=1,1,1)=JD^{A_1}_{2p+1,1}(m;\,q^2,t)=(q^{\frac{m}{2}}t)^{-m\frac{2p+1}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, the a–degree of $\mathcal{H}_{2p+1,2}(\omega_1;\,q,t,a)$ is 1 and it is completely determined by the following two relations from (1.39):

$$\mathcal{H}_{r,s}(\omega_1;\,q,t,a=-t)=1,\ \mathcal{H}_{r,s}(\omega_1;\,q,t,a=-t^2)=\widetilde{JD}^{A_1}_{r,s}(1;\,q,t),\tag{2.47}$$

where $r=2p+1, s=2$.

Therefore the DAHA-superpolynomial $\mathcal{H}_{2p+1,2}(\omega_1;\,q,t,a)$ from Theorem 1.3 must be tilde-proportional (up to fractional powers of q,t) to $\imath a^{1/2}\mathcal{J}D_{2p+1,1}(m;\,q^2,u_1=t,u_0=-at^{-1},1,1)$.

The imaginary unit $\imath$ occurs here due to square roots of the parameters u_1, u_0, v_1, v_0 in the formulas for $\mathcal{J}D$–polynomials; the coefficient of proportionality $\imath a^{1/2}$ corresponds to the choice $\imath a^{1/2} = (u_1u_0)^{1/2}$.

Indeed, $\mathcal{A} = 0$ in (2.41) for $(2\mathsf{p}+1, 1)$ and any m, which means that $(q^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0u_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{J}D_{2\mathsf{p}+1,1}(m;\, q, u, v)$ does not involve square roots of $q^{1/2}$, u_i, v_i. This is because $\mathcal{J}D_{2\mathsf{p}+1,1}(m;\, q, u, v)$ does not depend on v_1.

Theorem 2.5. *Let us substitute*

$$q^{1/2}\mapsto q,\ \ u_1^{1/2}\mapsto t^{1/2},\ \ u_0^{1/2}\mapsto \imath a^{1/2}t^{-1/2},\ \ v_0^{1/2}=1=v_1^{1/2} \tag{2.48}$$

in the formula for $\mathcal{J}D_{2\mathsf{p}+1,1}(m;\, q, u, v)$. *Then the resulting polynomial and the DAHA-superpolynomial* $\mathcal{H}_{2\mathsf{p}+1,2}(m\omega_1;\, q, t, a)$ *from Theorem 1.3 are proportional to each other. Namely,*

$$\begin{aligned} &q^{m^2\,\mathsf{r}/2}t^{m(\mathsf{r}-1)/2}\,\mathcal{J}D_{2\mathsf{p}+1,1}(m;\, q^2, u_1{=}t, u_0{=}{-}at^{-1}, v_i{=}1)\\ &{=}(\imath^m/a^{\frac{m}{2}})\,\mathcal{H}_{2\mathsf{p}+1,2}(m\omega_1;\, q, t, a)\ \ \textit{for any}\ \ \mathsf{p}\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\, m\in\mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

Proof. The key is using the color exchange. We have the following.

Lemma 2.6. *For an arbitrary admissible pair* (r, s) *and* $N = m, m+1, \dots, 2m-1$, *correspondingly,* $n \overset{\text{def}}{=\!=} N - m = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ *the following* m *color-exchange relations from (2.39) hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{J}D_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m;\, q^2, u_1 = t, u_0 = q^{-N}t^{-1}, v_1, v_0)\\ {=}&\mathcal{J}D_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}\,(n;\, q^2, u_1 = t, u_0 = q^{-N}t^{-1}, v_1, v_0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.50}$$

On the other hand, using (1.33) and then applying the $q \leftrightarrow t^{-1}$*–duality one has:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\omega_m;\, q, t, a = -t^N) \ = \ \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(\omega_n;\, q, t, a = -t^N)\ \Rightarrow\\ &\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m\omega_1;\, q, t, a = -q^{-N}) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(n\omega_1;\, q, t, a = -q^{-N}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

□

Thus we have obtain that (2.49) holds for $a{=}{-}q^{-m}, \dots, a{=}{-}q^{1-2m}$. Since the a–degrees of both expressions are m, we need $m{+}1$ coinciding independent specializations. Relations (2.46) and (2.47) provide them at $a{=}{-}t$ and $a{=}{-}t^2$. This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

The theorem is actually very surprising, since the $\mathcal{H}$ require all A_n for their definition, while the $\mathcal{J}D$ are obtained by a rank-one calculation

(for $(2\mathsf{p}+1,2)$). In process of proving the theorem and the lemma, we obtain the following two formulas:

$$\mathcal{H}_{2\mathsf{p}+1,2}(m\omega_1;\,q,t,a)=\frac{(q;q)_m}{(-a;q)_m\,(1-t)}\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{m-k}(qt)^{\frac{m-k}{2}} \tag{2.52}$$

$$\times\Big((q^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}}-q^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}})\{\frac{t}{q}\}^{\frac{m-k}{2}}\Big)^{2\mathsf{p}+1}\,\frac{(t;q)_k\,(-a;q)_{m+k}\,(-a/t;q)_{m-k}\,(1-q^{2k}t)}{(q;q)_k(qt;q)_{m+k}\,(q;q)_{m-k}};$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{3,2}(m\omega_1)=\sum_{k=0}^{m}q^{mk}t^k\frac{(q;q)_m(-a/t;q)_k}{(q;q)_k(q;q)_{m-k}},\ \ (x;q)_n=(1-x)\cdots(1-xq^{n-1}).$$

Note that a simple calculation with the first summation from (2.52) gives that its value at $a=-q^{-m}$ is $q^{\mathsf{p}\,m^2}t^{m\,\mathsf{p}}$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{H}_{2\mathsf{p}+1,2}(m\omega_1;\,q,t,-q^{-m})=\,q^{\mathsf{p}\,m^2}t^{m\,\mathsf{p}},\ \ \mathcal{JD}_{2\mathsf{p}+1,1}(m;\,q^2,t,q^{-m}t^{-1},1,1)$$

$$=q^{-m^2(\mathsf{p}+1/2)}t^{-m(\mathsf{r}-1)/2}\,(\imath^m/a^{\frac{m}{2}})\,\mathcal{H}_{2\mathsf{p}+1,2}(m\omega_1;\,q,t,a)\ \text{ for }\ a^{1/2}\mapsto\imath q^{-m/2}.$$

The latter is 1, which matches formula (2.50) for $N=m,n=0$.

The first formula in (2.52) was conjectured in (229) of [DMS] based on extensive calculations there with superpolynomials of torus and similar knots. It was conjectured in [FGS] too as well as the second (trefoil) formula; see (2.23), (2.32) there. The second one is a generalization of Habiro's formula (around 2000) for $\mathsf{p}=1,a=-t^2,t=q$.

The approach from [FGS] is based on (conjectural) symmetries of superpolynomials. The method from [DMS] is somewhat different (and more understandable algebraically); the authors assume the split of the formulas for superpolynomials into the components reflecting respectively the knot itself and the contribution of the corresponding Macdonald polynomials. Such a split can be readily seen in (2.52). Both papers do not provide details; we mention that (2.12) from [Ch4] links our parameters to those used in [DMS].

Comparing the first formula for $\mathsf{p}=1$ and the second one, we come to a certain q–identity, which is not too difficult to check; see (2.53) below. To clarify its meaning, let us perform the reduction $q^{1/2}\mapsto 1$; here we will double check the output using the evaluation formula (1.42). The first formula becomes

$$\frac{1}{(1-t)}\sum_{k=0}^{m}t^{k(\mathsf{p}+1)}(-1)^k\binom{m}{k}(1+a)^{m-k}\left(1+\frac{a}{t}\right)^k=\Big(\frac{1-t^{\mathsf{p}+1}}{1-t}+\frac{1-t^{\mathsf{p}}}{1-t}a\Big)^m,$$

which does coincide for $\mathsf{p}=1$ with the second (as $q^{1/2}\mapsto 1$):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} t^k \binom{m}{k} \Big(1+\frac{a}{t}\Big)^k = \big(1+t+a\big)^m.$$

Let us expand the first formula from (2.52) in terms of $(-a/t;q)_m$ with the coefficients from the second (trefoil) one. One has:

$$\mathcal{H}_{2\mathsf{p}+1,2}(m\omega_1;\, q,t,a) \overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \sum_{n=0}^{m} q^{mn}t^n A(n;\, m,\mathsf{p})\, \frac{(q;q)_m\,(-\frac{a}{t};q)_m}{(q;q)_{m-n}\,(q;q)_n}, \tag{2.53}$$

$$A(n;m+1,\mathsf{p}) \;=\; \Xi\big[\,A(n;\,m,\mathsf{p})\,\big],\;\; \Xi\big[\sum C_{ij}q^it^j\,\big] \overset{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \sum C_{ij}q^{i+2j}t^j,$$

$$\text{and}\;\; A(n;\,m,\mathsf{p}\to\infty) \;=\; \prod_{i=2m-n}^{2m-1} \frac{1}{1-q^it} \;\;\text{for fixed}\;\; 0\le n\le m. \tag{2.54}$$

Relations for $A(n;\,m,\mathsf{p})$ result in the second formula from (2.52). They follow from a variant of the color exchange for $C^\vee C_1$:

$$\mathcal{H}_{2\mathsf{p}+1,2}(m\omega_1;\, q,t,a{=}-tq^{-n}) = \mathcal{H}_{2\mathsf{p}+1,2}(n\omega_1;\, q,t,a{=}-tq^{-m})\;|_{t\mapsto tq^{2(m-n)}}$$

for any $n,m>0$; take $n=m-1$ for (2.53). We omit the justification.

By the limit in (2.54), we mean that for any given $0\le n\le m$, each $A(n;\,m,\mathsf{p})$ is a subsum (with positive coefficients) of $A(n;\,m,\infty)$ and eventually will include any term of the latter for sufficiently large p. It is quite likely that the coefficients of $A(n;\,m,\mathsf{p})$ monotonically increase in terms of p for any fixed n,m and q^at^b. This may be somehow connected with Conjecture 7.4 from [GGS].

2.7. **Generalized Verlinde algebras.** We will extend *perfect* $\mathcal{H}\!\!\!\mathcal{H}^{A_1}_{q,t}$*–modules* at roots of unity, also called *generalized nonsymmetric Verlinde algebras*, from A_1 to $C^\vee C_1$ and discuss the action of the absolute Galois group there. Following [Ch1], such a module is a quotient of the polynomial representations by the radical of the evaluation pairing provided its irreducibility and the projective action of $PSL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$ there. We will only extend the *main series* of such modules (which directly generalize the classical Verlinde algebras).

The evaluation pairing for $C^\vee C_1$ is as follows:

$$\{f,g\}_{ev} = \{\varphi(f)g\}_{ev} = \varphi\big(f(\mathbb{X})\big)(g)(u_1v_1^{-1/2}).$$

It is defined on $f\times g\in \mathcal{V}^\varphi\times\mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V}^\varphi$ is the image of $\mathcal{V}$ under φ with the action of algebra $\mathscr{H}^\varphi_{q,u,v}$ obtained from $\mathscr{H}_{q,u,v}$ by the transformation

$u_0 \leftrightarrow v_1$; see (2.7). We will set $u_i^{1/2} = q^{k_i/2}$ and $v_i^{1/2} = q^{l_i/2}$ for $i = 0, 1$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $q^{1/4}$ be a primitive $(4N)$th root of unity and $u_1^{1/2} = q^{k_1/2}$ for $2k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ subject to the inequality $0 < k_1 < N/2$. We set $M = N - 2k_1$. If $2k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we will assume that $0 \le k_0 \le k_1$, which is a τ_-–invariant condition. We also impose the condition*

$$1 - \epsilon\, q^{\frac{j}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}(u_1u_0v_1v_0^{\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}} \neq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \epsilon = \pm 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \le j \le M. \tag{2.55}$$

If $u_0, v_1, v_0 \in \mathbb{Z}/2$, then $\frac{1}{2}+k_1+k_0+l_1+l_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ is sufficient, which is a $GL_2^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z})$–invariant condition.

(i) Then the quotient $\mathbb{V}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ by the (right) radical Rad of the evaluation pairing $\{f, g\}_{ev}$ is irreducible of dimension $2M = 2N - 4k_1$ with semisimple action of $\mathbb{Y}$, which spectrum is simple. More explicitly, the polynomial $\mathcal{E}_{-M}$ is well defined, $\{\mathcal{E}_{-M}\}_{ev} = 0$ and $\mathbb{V} = \mathcal{V}/(\mathcal{E}_{-M})$ as an $\mathbb{X}$–module. Furthermore, the polynomials $\mathcal{E}_m$ are well defined for $-M < m \le M$ and form a basis of $\mathbb{V}$; their evaluations are all nonzero for such m.

(ii) Assuming that the parameters u_0, v_1, v_0 are formal variables, the action of the group $\Gamma_0^{\wedge}$ can be represented by skew-linear maps from $\mathbb{V}$ to its images under the corresponding transformation of the parameters. For instance, the subgroup $\bar{\Gamma}^{\wedge}(2)$ of $PSL_2^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z})$ generated by $\{\tau_{\pm}^2\}$ preserves $\mathbb{V}$ for any admissible values of the parameters and its action is linear in this module. Here $PSL_2^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the span of $\{\tau_{\pm}\}$ in $GL_2^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z})$, $\bar{\Gamma}^{\wedge}(2)$ corresponds to the group $\bar{\Gamma}(2) \subset PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of matrices identical modulo (2).

(iii) Continuing to assume $M = N - 2k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$k_0, l_1, l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+/2, \quad k_0 \le k_1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1/2 + k_1 + k_0 + l_1 + l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.56}$$

which violates (2.55). Then the claims from (i,ii) still hold if $k_1 - k_0 > l_1 + l_0$. However, the opposite inequality $k_1 - k_0 < l_1 + l_0$ (the strict equality is impossible here due to (2.56)) and the additional condition $M' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=\!=} N + 1/2 - (k_1 + k_0 + l_1 + l_0) > 0$ give that $\mathcal{V}/Rad \stackrel{\text{def}}{=\!=} \mathbb{V}' = \mathcal{V}/(\mathcal{E}_{M'})$ is irreducible of dimension $2M' - 1 < 2M$ with simple spectrum of $\mathbb{Y}$.

(iv) We return to imposing (2.55). For instance, one may assume that

$$k_i, l_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+/2,\ \ k_0 \le k_1 < N/2 \ \ \textit{and}\ \ \frac{1}{2}+k_1+k_0+l_1+l_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.57}$$

Then $\mathbb{V}$ is rigid; more exactly, it is a unique $\mathscr{H}_{q,u,v}$–module of dimension $2M$ up to isomorphisms. For instance, this results in the existence of the projective action of $\bar{\Gamma}^\wedge(2)$ in $\mathbb{V}$, claimed in (ii).

Proof. Part (i) is straightforward using the evaluation formulas (2.24), (2.25) and the spectrum of $\mathbb{Y}$ from formula (2.15). One can use formula (2.16) for the action of τ_- on the $\mathcal{E}$–polynomials and the relation $\varphi\tau_-\varphi = \tau_+$ to verify (ii).

Another proof of (ii) is as follows. We readily establish (iv) for u_0, v_1, v_0 in a neighborhood of the specialization $u_0^{1/2} = 1 = v_1^{1/2} = v_0^{1/2}$, since it holds for A_1. See case (α) of Theorem 1.4 from [Ch5]. This gives that for *all* parameters, there exists a skew-symmetric isomorphism $\mathbb{V} \to \widehat{\gamma}(\mathbb{V})$, where the parameters are conjugated by $\widehat{\gamma}$. Here $\gamma \in \bar{\Gamma}^\wedge(2)$, assuming that the parameters u_0, v_1, v_0 are *admissible*, i.e. such that the existence, irreducibility and semisimplicity of $\mathbb{V}$ hold.

Claim (iii) is straightforward. The last claim uses the technique of intertwiners; formulas (2.22) and counterparts of (2.23) in arbitrary modules are needed. The proof is parallel to the classification of the irreducible modules for A_1 from [Ch1]. However (iv) and its counterpart from Theorem 1.4 of [Ch5] do not require knowing the whole classification of irreducible modules; this is a simpler problem. □

We mention here paper [OS] devoted to the rigid modules for $C^\vee C_1$ apart from the roots of unity, the corresponding Deligne-Simpson problem and Crawley-Boevey's results. Their rigid modules remain rigid for sufficiently general N and can be used too. However a systematic theory of perfect and rigid modules at roots of unity for $C^\vee C_1$ is needed.

As it was already mentioned in the proof of (ii), the module $\mathbb{V}$ becomes V_{2N-4k} from Part (i) of Theorem 1.2 from [Ch5] for $k_1 = k, k_0 = 0 = l_1 = l_0$. The second reduction to A_1 from Theorem 2.1 above, is $k_1 = k = k_0$ and $q \mapsto q^2$. This corresponds to the so-called *Little Verlinde algebra* from [Ch1], defined for Y^2 instead of Y; see also Section 1.4 from [Ch5].

Claim (ii) of the theorem is directly related to DAHA-Jones polynomials. For the sake of transparency, we will restrict ourselves to the subgroup $\bar{\Gamma}^\wedge(2) \subset \Gamma_0^\wedge(2)$ in the definition from (2.36) of Theorem 2.2.

We use that the evaluation $\{f\}_{ev}$ factors through $\mathbb{V}$; the notation will be $\{f\}_{ev}^{\mathbb{V}}$. Let $\widetilde{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V})$ be the matrix representing $\widehat{\gamma} \in \bar{\Gamma}^\wedge(2)$ for $\gamma = \gamma_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}$.

Corollary 2.8. *(i) In the setting from (i,ii) of Theorem 2.7,*

$$\mathcal{J}D_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}(m'\,;q,u,v) \;=\; \{\widetilde{\gamma}\,\mathcal{E}_m\,\widetilde{\gamma}^{-1}\}_{ev}^{\mathbb{V}} \,/\, \{\mathcal{E}_m\}_{ev}^{\mathbb{V}}, \tag{2.58}$$

$$\text{where } 0 \le m' = m \mod (2N) \text{ for } 0 \le m \le M. \qquad \square$$

This corollary establishes a link to the approach to Jones polynomials of torus knots and their superpolynomials based on generalized Verlinde algebras. See [AS], which triggered [Ch4]; the projective action of $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ used in [AS] is from [Ki]. One can see which kind of *refined Chern-Simons theory* can be needed to match the DAHA-Jones polynomials. It must involve 3 essentially free parameters (u_0,v_1,v_0) and a half-integral parameter $1 \le k_1 \le N/2$ from the relation $u_1 = q^{k_1}$. Note that the $C^\vee C_1$–theory provides Selberg-type integrals with 4 parameters (and q). Similar integrals did occur in conformal field theory, but their relevance to our work is not clear.

Absolute Galois group. We will conclude this paper with an arithmetic extension of Corollary 2.8. The key point is that practically any automorphisms of the spherical DAHA can be used there. Following [Ch5], we show that the *absolute Galois group* can substitute for $GL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$.

We continue to assume that $q^{1/4}$ is a primitive $(4N)$th root of unity and assume that $u_i^{1/2}, v^{1/2} \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/4}]$ for $i = 0,1$. Then we fix a prime number p and a number (conductor) $n \in \mathbb{N}$, extending (p) to a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/4}]$. We need the counterparts $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{p},n}$ of module $\mathbb{V}$ from Theorem 2.7 defined over the rings $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{p},n} \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=\!=} \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/4}]/(\mathfrak{p}^n)$ and the $\mathfrak{p}$–adic limit $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{p},n}$. Here we assume that $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{p},n}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ exist, are irreducible, rigid and $\mathbb{Y}$–semisimple for such $\mathfrak{p}$. Due to Hensel's Lemma, this must be established for $n = 1$. For generic u_0, v_1, v_0, the condition $\gcd(p, 2N) = 1$ is sufficient.

Let us consider the projective line $P^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ punctured at pairwise distinct points O_1, O_2, O_3, O_4. The fundamental group $\Pi_1 = \pi_1(P^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{O_j\}; o)$ (for a base point $o \notin \{O_j\}$) is generated by the standard counterclockwise loops A_j around these points satisfying the relation $A_1A_2A_3A_4 = 1$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},n}$ be the image of Π_1 in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{p},n}}\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{p},n}$, where A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 map to $q^{1/4}U_1, U_0, V_0, V_1$ acting in $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{p},n}$ (in this order, matching that in (2.1)).

We use the Riemann Existence Theorem to construct the cover $F \to P^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ ramified only at $\{O_j\}$ such that $\mathrm{Aut}(F \to P^1) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},n}$ and the images of A_i generate the (cyclic) subgroups of the elements fixing certain ramification points over O_i. See [Ch5] here and below. Assuming that $O_1, \dots, O_4$ are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/4})$ and that $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/4}]$, we claim that F can be actually defined over $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/4})$ and the *absolute Galois group* $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{Gal}\big(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/4})\big)$ acts in $\mathrm{Aut}(F/P^1)$ by outer automorphisms. We use the rigidity of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{p},n}$, which also gives that $\mathcal{G}$ projectively acts there by linear automorphisms. For $g \in \mathcal{G}$, let ϕ_g be the corresponding matrix (unique up to proportionality). This is a modification of Belyi's theory enriched by Katz' *linear rigidity* (M. Dettweiler and others).

Conjecture 2.9. *Following (2.58), we set*

$$\mathcal{J}D_g(m) = \varprojlim_n \{\phi_g\, \mathcal{E}_m\, \phi_g^{-1}\}^{\mathbb{V}}_{ev} \,/\, \{\mathcal{E}_m\}^{\mathbb{V}}_{ev} \quad \textit{for} \quad \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{p},n},\ g \in \mathcal{G}, \tag{2.59}$$

where $1 \le m \le M = N - 2k_1$. *We conjecture that there exists* $\varrho_m(g) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/4}]$ *such that* $\varrho_m(g) = \mathcal{J}D_g(m)$ *for all places* $p, \mathfrak{p}$ *of good reduction, where* $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ *is irreducible, rigid and* $\mathbb{Y}$*–semisimple.* □

The conjecture is not directly related to the standard ℓ–adic conjectures for Tate modules in Number Theory (see below), though we follow the same lines. It can be easily verified if the image $\mathcal{A}$ of Π_1 in the whole $\mathrm{Aut}\mathbb{V}$ is finite; then restricting the ring of coefficients to $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{p},n}$ is not needed. We described all such finite $\mathcal{A}$ in the case of A_1 in [Ch5]. This seems significantly more ramified for $C^\vee C_1$, though hopefully doable under the conditions from (2.57).

The absence of denominators in $\varrho_m(g)$ at the places $p, \mathfrak{p}$ of *bad reduction* is a direct counterpart of the polynomiality of $\mathcal{J}D_{\mathsf{r},\mathsf{s}}$. Here one can actually drop the inequality $m < N - 4k_1$. Also, we can take $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ provided that O_1 and the triple $\{O_2, O_3, O_4\}$ are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then ϕ_g will be coupled with the restriction g' of $g \in \mathcal{G}$ to $\mathbb{Q}[q^{1/4}]$ and $\mathcal{J}D_g(m)$ will be conjugated by g'. We modify (2.59) following (2.36) if O_2, O_3, O_4 are permuted by g'; cf. [Ch5].

The classical (unramified) *Tate modules* require considering the stabilization in terms of $N = \ell^e$ as $e \to \infty$ for a given prime ℓ, which corresponds to $k_1 = 0 = k_0 = l_1 = l_0$ in our ramified theory (ℓ must be

odd). Then the group $\mathcal{A}$ is finite and we do not need using p. Furthermore, $\mathcal{G}$ acts in $\mathbb{V}$ via $\psi_g \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ modulo (ℓ^e) and ψ_g becomes a matrix in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ upon the ℓ–adic limit $e \to \infty$.

Classically, the eigenvalues of ψ_g are considered, which depend only on the conjugacy class of $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Our $\mathcal{J}D_g(m)$ is not conjugacy invariant. It equals $q^{-m^2\mathsf{r}\mathsf{s}\kappa/4}$ in this case for $\mathsf{r}, \mathsf{s}, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$, where $(\mathsf{r}, \mathsf{s})^{tr}$ is the first column of the matrix ψ_g and $g(q) = q^\kappa$. We represent $q = 1 + \epsilon$ and tend $e \to \infty$, expanding $\mathcal{J}D_g(m)$ in terms of ϵ.

We do not generally expect the groups $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{p},n}$ to act via $GL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{p},n}$. Actually one of the objectives of this "motivic" direction is to enlarge the group $GL_2^\wedge(\mathbb{Z})$ of the standard DAHA-automorphisms using the absolute Galois group. We suggest some tools for managing the stabilization in terms of N in [Ch5] based on *q–deformed nonsymmetric Verlinde algebras*, but this is generally an open problem.

We mention that in contrast to the rest of the paper, the arithmetic direction is restricted to the rank one so far, since we use the Riemann Existence Theorem. We expect that $\mathcal{G}$ always acts in $\mathfrak{p}$–adic rigid DAHA modules at roots of unity for proper $(p) \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and that the monodromy of the *Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard* equation associated with DAHA can be used for the justification. However *KZB* is not involved here so far even in the rank-one case.

Acknowledgements. The paper was mainly written at RIMS; the author thanks Hiraku Nakajima and RIMS for the invitation and hospitality and the Simons Foundation (which made this visit possible).

References

[AS] M. Aganagic, and S. Shakirov, *Knot homology from refined Chern-Simons theory*, Preprint arXiv:1105.5117v1 [hep-th], 2011. 3, 17, 41

[AW] R. Askey, and J. Wilson, *Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials*, Memoirs AMS 319 (1985), 1–55. 27

[BS] Yu. Berest, and P. Samuelson, *Double affine Hecke algebras and generalized Jones polynomials*, Preprint arXiv:1402.6032v2 [math.QA] (2014). 5

[Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Ch. 4–6, Hermann, Paris (1969). 5, 14

[Ch1] I. Cherednik, *Double affine Hecke algebras*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 319, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 18, 38, 40

[Ch2] — *Nonsemisimple Macdonald polynomials*, Selecta Mathematica 14: 3-4 (2009), 427–569. 10, 11, 14, 15, 16

[Ch3] — , *Irreducibility of perfect representations of double affine Hecke algebras*, Studies in Lie theory, Progr. Math. 243, 79–95, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006. 15

[Ch4] — *Jones polynomials of torus knots via DAHA*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, 2013:23 (2013), 5366–5425. 3, 4, 6, 9, 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 34, 37, 41

[Ch5] — *On Galois action in rigid DAHA modules*, Preprint arXiv:1310.2581v3 [math.QA] (2013). 4, 40, 41, 42, 43

[DMS] P. Dunin-Barkowski, and A. Mironov, and A. Morozov, and A. Sleptsov, and A. Smirnov, *Superpolynomials for toric knots from evolution induced by cut-and-join operators*, Preprint arXiv:1106.4305v2 [hep-th] (2012). 3, 4, 37

[DGR] N. Dunfield, and S. Gukov, and J. Rasmussen, *The superpolynomial for knot homologies*, Experimental Mathematics, 15:2 (2006), 129–159. 3, 17, 21

[FGS] H. Fuji, and S. Gukov, and P. Sulkowski, *Super-A-polynomial for knots and BPS states*, Preprint arXiv:1205.1515v2 [hep-th] (2012). 3, 4, 5, 17, 21, 37

[GN] E. Gorsky, and A. Negut, *Refined knot invariants and Hilbert schemes*, Preprint arXiv:1304.3328v2 [math.RT] (2013). 3, 4, 16, 17, 18, 19

[GORS] E. Gorsky, and A. Oblomkov, and J. Rasmussen, and V. Shende, *Torus knots and rational DAHA*, arXiv:1207.4523 (2012). 4, 17

[GGS] E. Gorsky, and S. Gukov, and M. Stosic, *Quadruply-graded colored homology of knots*, Preprint arXiv:1304.3481 [math.QA] (2013). 3, 17, 19, 21, 38

[GS] S. Gukov, and M. Stosic, *Homological algebra of knots and BPS states*, Preprint arXiv:1112.0030v1 [hep-th] (2011). 3, 21

[Hi] K. Hikami, *q-series and L-functions related to half-derivatives of the Andrews–Gordon identity* Ramanujan J. 11 (2006), 175–197. 4

[KhR1] M. Khovanov, and L. Rozansky, *Matrix factorizations and link homology*, Fundamenta Mathematicae, 199 (2008), 1–91. 3, 17, 21

[KhR2] — , and — , *Matrix factorizations and link homology II*, Geometry and Topology, 12 (2008), 1387–1425. 3, 17, 21

[Ki] A. Kirillov, Jr., *On inner product in modular tensor categories. I*, Jour. of AMS 9 (1996), 1135–1170. 41

[Ko] T. Koornwinder, *Askey-Wilson polynomials for root systems of type BC*, Contemp. Math. 138 (1992), 189-204. 28

[Mac] I. Macdonald, *Affine Hecke algebras and orthogonal polynomials*, Cambridge University Press (2003). 10, 28

[NS] M. Noumi, and J.V. Stokman, *Askey-Wilson polynomials: an affine Hecke algebra approach*, in: Laredo Lectures on Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions, pp. 111–144, Nova Sci. Publ., Hauppauge, NY, 2004; Preprint arXiv:math/0001033v1. 23, 24, 25, 26, 28

[Ob] A. Oblomkov, *Double affine Hecke algebras of rank* 1 *and affine cubic surfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004:18 (2004), 877–912. 24

[OS] A. Oblomkov, and E. Stoica, *Finite dimensional representations of the double affine Hecke algebra of rank 1*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 213:5 (2009), 766-771. 4, 40

[Ras] J. Rasmussen, *Some differentials on Khovanov-Rozansky homology*, Preprint arXiv:math.GT/0607544 (2006). 3, 17, 21

[RJ] M. Rosso, and V. F. R. Jones, *On the invariants of torus knots derived from quantum groups*, Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications, 2 (1993), 97–112. 18

[Rou] R. Rouquier, *Khovanov-Rozansky homology and 2-braid groups*, Preprint arXiv:1203.5065 [math.RT] (2012). 3

[Sa] S. Sahi, *Nonsymmetric Koornwinder polynomials and duality*, Ann. of Math. (2) 150:1 (1999), 267-282. 26, 28

[SV] O. Schiffmann, and E. Vasserot, *The elliptic Hall algebra, Cherednik Hecke algebras and Macdonald polynomials*, Compos. Math. 147 (2011), 188–234. 3, 16

[Ste] S. Stevan, *Chern-Simons invariants of torus links*, Annales Henri Poincaré 11 (2010), 1201–1224. 3, 18

[Sto] J.V. Stokman, *Difference Fourier transforms for nonreduced root systems*, Sel. Math., New ser. 9:3 (2003), 409–494. 28

[Web] B. Webster, *Knot invariants and higher representation theory*, Preprint arXiv:1309.3796 [math.GT] (2013). 3

(I. Cherednik) Department of Mathematics, UNC Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, USA, chered@email.unc.edu